arXiv:1512.07705v1 [hep-th] 24 Dec 2015

OCU-PHYS 433
December, 2015

Genus one super-Green function revisited and superstring amplitudes with non-maximal supersymmetry

H. Itoyama[a,b*] and Kohei Yano[a†]

[a] *Department of Mathematics and Physics, Graduate School of Science*
Osaka City University and

[b] *Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute (OCAMI)*

3-3-138, Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka, 558-8585, Japan

Abstract

We reexamine genus one super-Green functions with general boundary conditions twisted by (α, β) for (σ, τ) directions in the eigenmode expansion and derive expressions as infinite series of hypergeometric functions.

Using these, we compute one-loop superstring amplitudes with non-maximal supersymmetry, taking an example of massless vector emissions of open string type I $\mathcal{Z}_2$ orbifold.

*e-mail: itoyama@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp
†e-mail: kyano@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The study of one-loop superstring amplitudes [1, 2] having their bosonic predecessors [3, 4, 5] and that of the attendant genus one Green functions have a long history. Major results and useful formulas have already been incorporated in standard textbooks [6, 7] and we think that the study of this subject has in that sense been trivialized. The number of articles devoted to computations and (phenomenological) applications of superstring amplitudes in recent years are, however, relatively small and the generalized Green functions that do not satisfy ordinary periodicity or anti-periodicity on the genus one Riemann surfaces in σ or τ directions do not seem to have been systematically studied, according to our search [8], despite that they are after all two point functions of QFT free fields. These Green functions are needed in order to study scattering properties of particles in superstring compactifications [9, 10, 11] which carry non-maximal supersymmetry and which are soluble by free fields.

In the first half of this paper, we study these bosonic and fermionic Green functions as the inverse of Laplacian and Dirac operators respectively, exploiting the elementary method of eigen-mode expansion. In the known special cases, our computation boils down to the formula expressible in terms of the theta functions. In general, our final expression is given by an infinite series consisting of a hypergeometric function (with its argument successively shifted), which is relevant to the genus zero Green function[1]. This is in accord with the picture that the genus one Green functions can be obtained from those of genus zero by putting an infinite number of image charges. Our result can be represented as super-Green functions with worldsheet supersymmetry broken by the boundary condition. In the latter half of this paper, we demonstrate the use of these Green functions by a simple yet nontrivial example that has non-maximal supersymmetry.

In the next section, we compute the genus one Green functions with the twist angles (α, β) in (σ, τ) directions respectively, using the eigen-mode expansion. We make exploit of partial fractions. In section 3, we recall superstring one-loop vacuum amplitudes in the worldsheet covariant path integrals and recast them with those of the light-cone operator formulation in order to circumvent the nuisance of the overall normalization. We review the case of $T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2$ orbifold .

In section 4, we demonstrate the use of the super-Green functions derived in section 2 by computing the superannulus contributions to massless vector emission amplitudes, taking an example from the case of non-maximal supersymmetry. Explicit results for $1, 2, 3$ point amplitudes are compared with the vanishing amplitudes for the case of maximal supersymmetry.

[1]Such Green function in fact appears in string theory under constant B field [12].

In appendix A-H, we give some details of computation and background materials quoted in the text.

2 Genus one Green functions with (α, β) boundary condition

In this section, we compute the genus one Green functions with general boundary condition to be designated by (α, β), using the eigenmode expansion. We mainly consider the case of torus here. The other one-loop geometries, Klein bottle, annulus and Möbius band, can be constructed by the involution (or, the image method) as seen, for example, in [13, 14].

Let $z \equiv \sigma^1 + \tau\sigma^2$ and $\bar{z} = \sigma^1 + \bar{\tau}\sigma^2$ $(0 \leq \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \leq 1)$ be the complex coordinates on the worldsheet torus with modular parameter $\tau \equiv \tau_1 + i\tau_2$. The Laplacian is defined by $\Delta \equiv 4\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}$. We use the plane wave bases

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2) &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_2+\beta)\sigma^2}\\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\pi i}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\bar{\tau}\}z}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau\}\bar{z}}\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

as our eigenfunctions, where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$. We have imposed the orthonormality on $\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)$ to determine the normalization factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}}$. (See appendix B.) This function possesses the following quasi-periodicities:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1+1,\sigma^2) &= \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)\\ \Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2+1) &= \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In the subsequent subsections, we will first consider the bosonic and fermionic components and then use these components to provide the supertorus Green function. We will also consider the superannulus Neumann function as the involution of the supertorus Green function.

2.1 bosonic part

Since the eigenequation is

$$\Delta\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2) = \Lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)\,, \tag{2.3}$$

the eigenvalue reads

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2} &\equiv \frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\left|(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau\right|^2\\ &= -\frac{(2\pi)^2}{\tau_2^2}\left[\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau_1\}^2+\{(n_1+\alpha)\tau_2\}^2\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Note that $\Lambda^{(0,0)}_{0,0} = 0$. In the following, we consider the cases of $\alpha \neq 0$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (0,0), (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

2.1.1 case of $\alpha \neq 0$

Now we would like to compute the Green function

$$G\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) \equiv \sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}} \Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)\Phi^*_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(0,0)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_2+\beta)\sigma^2}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

By translational invariance, we have chosen 0 in the second set of arguments.

Exploiting the partial fraction, we decompose $\frac{1}{\Lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}}$ into

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}} = \frac{\tau-\bar{\tau}}{4(2\pi)^2}\frac{1}{n_1+\alpha}\left\{\frac{1}{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau} - \frac{1}{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\bar{\tau}}\right\}, \tag{2.6}$$

which is permissible even for $n_1 = 0$, $\alpha \neq 0$. Using

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau\}\sigma} = \frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{n_1+\alpha}}{2\pi i\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau\}}\,, \tag{2.7}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}} = \frac{i(\tau-\bar{\tau})}{4(2\pi)}\frac{1}{n_1+\alpha}\left[\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{n_1+\alpha}}\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau\}\sigma}\right.$$
$$\left. - \frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}\bar{q}^{-(n_1+\alpha)}}\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\bar{\tau}\}\sigma}\right], \tag{2.8}$$

where $q \equiv \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\tau}$. According to eq. (C.5), we have the following manipulation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_1+\alpha}\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{n_1+\alpha}} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau\}\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)\sigma^1} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_2+\beta)\sigma^2} \\
&\quad = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_1+\alpha}\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{n_1+\alpha}} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma \delta(\sigma^2-\sigma) \mathrm{e}^{+2\pi i\beta(\sigma^2-\sigma)+2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)(\sigma^1+\tau\sigma)} \\
&\quad = \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_1+\alpha}\frac{\zeta^{n_1+\alpha}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{n_1+\alpha}} \\
&\quad \overset{m\equiv n_1}{=} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+\alpha}\frac{\zeta^{m+\alpha}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{m+\alpha}} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m-\alpha}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta}\left(\frac{q}{\zeta}\right)^{m-\alpha}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta}q^{m-\alpha}} \\
&\quad \overset{m'\equiv m-1,\, \alpha'\equiv 1-\alpha}{=} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+\alpha}\frac{\zeta^{m+\alpha}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{m+\alpha}} + \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta} \sum_{m'=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m'+\alpha'}\frac{\left(\frac{q}{\zeta}\right)^{m'+\alpha'}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta}q^{m'+\alpha'}} \\
&\quad \overset{\text{eq.}(C.5)}{=} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}\right)^n (\zeta q^n)^\alpha F(1,\alpha,1+\alpha;\zeta q^n) \\
&\qquad + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha')}{\Gamma(1+\alpha')} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta})^{n+1} \left(\frac{q^{n+1}}{\zeta}\right)^{\alpha'} F\left(1,\alpha',1+\alpha';\frac{q^{n+1}}{\zeta}\right) ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.9}
$$

where $\zeta \equiv \mathrm{e}^{2\pi iz} = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(\sigma^1+\tau\sigma^2)}$ and $\alpha' \equiv 1-\alpha$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_1+\alpha}\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}\bar{q}^{-(n_1+\alpha)}} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\bar{\tau}\}\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)\sigma^1} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_2+\beta)\sigma^2} \\
&\quad = -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta})^{n+1} \left(\frac{\bar{q}^{n+1}}{\bar{\zeta}}\right)^{\alpha} F\left(1,\alpha,1+\alpha;\frac{\bar{q}^{n+1}}{\bar{\zeta}}\right) \\
&\qquad - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha')}{\Gamma(1+\alpha')} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta})^n \left(\bar{\zeta}\bar{q}^n\right)^{\alpha'} F\left(1,\alpha',1+\alpha';\bar{\zeta}\bar{q}^n\right) .
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.10}
$$

Substituting eqs. (2.9) and (2.10) into eq. (2.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\\
&\quad=-\frac{1}{2(2\pi)}\left[\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}\right)^n(\zeta q^n)^\alpha F(1,\alpha,1+\alpha;\zeta q^n)\right.\\
&\qquad+\frac{\Gamma(\alpha')}{\Gamma(1+\alpha')}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta})^{n+1}\left(\frac{q^{n+1}}{\zeta}\right)^{\alpha'}F\left(1,\alpha',1+\alpha';\frac{q^{n+1}}{\zeta}\right)\\
&\qquad+\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta})^{n+1}\left(\frac{\bar{q}^{n+1}}{\bar{\zeta}}\right)^{\alpha}F\left(1,\alpha,1+\alpha;\frac{\bar{q}^{n+1}}{\bar{\zeta}}\right)\\
&\qquad\left.+\frac{\Gamma(\alpha')}{\Gamma(1+\alpha')}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta})^{n}\left(\bar{\zeta}\bar{q}^n\right)^{\alpha'}F(1,\alpha',1+\alpha';\bar{\zeta}\bar{q}^n)\right]. \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

2.1.2 case of $(\alpha,\beta)=(0,0)$

In this case, it is necessary to exclude $(n_1,n_2)=(0,0)$ at the sum in eq. (2.5):

$$
G_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\equiv G\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\(n_1,n_2)\neq(0,0)}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}|n_2-n_1\tau|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}\,. \qquad (2.12)
$$

As the result of the calculation in appendix D.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\\
&\overset{\text{eq.}(D.12)}{=}\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln\left|\frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)}{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0)}\right|-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^2}{\tau_2}+\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-q^n|-\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Im}\,z)+\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(2\pi)+2\tau_2\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right].
\end{aligned} \qquad (2.13)
$$

The terms in the bracket [...] vanish when acting on $\Delta=4\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}$.

2.1.3 case of $(\alpha,\beta)=(0,\frac{1}{2})$

Here we consider

$$
G_{+-}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\equiv G\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\left|\left(n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)-n_1\tau\right|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sigma^2}\,. \qquad (2.14)
$$

Now we divide this sum into $n_1\neq 0$ part and $n_1=0$ part to use the partial fraction decomposition in eq. (2.6).

As the result of the calculation in appendix D.2, we obtain

$$
G_{+-}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\overset{\text{eq.}(D.24)}{=}\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\ln|1-\zeta|+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\ln|1-\zeta q^m|\left|1-\frac{q^m}{\zeta}\right|\right]-\pi^2\tau_2\,. \qquad (2.15)
$$

2.2 fermionic part

The eigen-equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-i)\partial_{\bar{z}}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2) &= \kappa^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)\\
(-i)\partial_{z}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2) &= \bar{\kappa}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.16}
$$

The eigenvalues can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2} &= -\frac{2\pi}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau\} = +\frac{\pi i}{\tau_2}\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\tau\}\\
\bar{\kappa}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2} &= +\frac{2\pi}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\bar{\tau}\} = -\frac{\pi i}{\tau_2}\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\bar{\tau}\}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.17}
$$

Note that $\kappa^{(0,0)}_{0,0} = \bar{\kappa}^{(0,0)}_{0,0} = 0$.

2.2.1 case of $(\alpha,\beta)\neq(0,0)$

Here we calculate the Green function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) &= \frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\kappa^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_2+\beta)\sigma^2}\\
\bar{\mathcal{S}}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) &= \frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\bar{\kappa}^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{n_1,n_2}}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i(n_2+\beta)\sigma^2}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.18}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{S}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\\
&\overset{\text{eqs. (2.7), (2.17), (2.18)}}{=} -\frac{1}{\tau_2}(\tau-\bar{\tau})i\sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{n_1+\alpha}}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(\sigma^2-\sigma)n_2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta(\sigma^2-\sigma)}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)(\sigma^1+\tau\sigma^2)}\\
&= -\frac{(\tau-\bar{\tau})i}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta^{n_1+\alpha}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{n_1+\alpha}} \overset{\text{eq. (C.22)}}{=} \frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.19}
$$

Similarly, using

$$
\overline{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)} = \vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|-\bar{\tau})\,,
\tag{2.20}
$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) = -\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}+\beta\end{bmatrix}(-\bar{z}|-\bar{\tau})}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}+\beta\end{bmatrix}(0|-\bar{\tau})}\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|-\bar{\tau})}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-\bar{z}|-\bar{\tau})}$$
$$\overset{\text{eqs. }(C.8),(C.9)}{=} -\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\overline{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}}{\overline{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}}\frac{\overline{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}}{\overline{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}}\,. \tag{2.21}$$

This time, we have used

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\bar{\tau}\}\sigma} = -\frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta}\bar{q}^{n_1+\alpha}}{2\pi i\{(n_2+\beta)-(n_1+\alpha)\bar{\tau}\}} \tag{2.22}$$

instead of eq. (2.7), avoiding getting $\delta(\sigma^2+\sigma)$ which vanishes in the original domain.

In particular, when $(\alpha,\beta)=(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}),(\frac{1}{2},0),(0,\frac{1}{2})$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_{--}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\equiv\mathcal{S}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) = \frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}$$
$$\mathcal{S}_{-+}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\equiv\mathcal{S}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) = \frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}$$
$$\mathcal{S}_{+-}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\equiv\mathcal{S}\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) = \frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)} \tag{2.23}$$

and the complex conjugates of these.

2.2.2 case of $(\alpha,\beta)=(0,0)$

In this case, we need to exclude the zero mode $(n_1,n_2)=(0,0)$ in the sum:

$$\mathcal{S}_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\equiv\mathcal{S}\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) = \frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ (n_1,n_2)\neq(0,0)}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(-\frac{2\pi}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}\right)(n_2-n_1\tau)}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}$$
$$\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\equiv\bar{\mathcal{S}}\begin{bmatrix}0\\ 0\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) = \frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ (n_1,n_2)\neq(0,0)}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{+\frac{2\pi}{\tau-\bar{\tau}}(n_2-n_1\bar{\tau})}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}\,. \tag{2.24}$$

Here we use the relation

$$\mathcal{S}_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\overset{\text{eqs.}(2.12),(2.24)}{=}4i\frac{\partial}{\partial z}G_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) \tag{2.25}$$

to calculate eq. (2.24), because eq. (C.22) appears not to work well when $(\alpha,\beta)=(0,0)$. Eq. (2.25) can be easily understood by using the last line in eq. (2.1). From eqs. (2.25), (2.13), we

obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)=\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z)}-2\frac{(\mathrm{Im}\,z)}{\tau_2}-1\,. \tag{2.26}$$

In addition,

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)=\overline{\mathcal{S}_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)}\overset{(2.26)}{=}-\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\overline{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z)}}{\overline{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z)}}-2\frac{(\mathrm{Im}\,z)}{\tau_2}-1\,. \tag{2.27}$$

The last term, namely, -1 in eqs. (2.26) and (2.27) vanishes when acting with $(-i)\partial_{\bar{z}}$ or $(-i)\partial_z$.

2.3 supertorus Green function and superannulus Neumann function

2.3.1 supertorus Green function

We define the supertorus Green function ($\nu_{\mathrm{f}}=(-,-)$ or $(-,+)$ or $(+,-)$) by

$$\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\mathrm{f}}}}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J)\equiv G_{+\pm}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J)+\frac{\theta_I\theta_J}{4}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J)-\frac{\bar{\theta}_I\bar{\theta}_J}{4}\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J)\,, \tag{2.28}$$

where θ, $\bar{\theta}$ are Grassmann coordinates and G_{++}, G_{+-} and $\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}$ are given in eqs. (2.13), (2.15) and (2.23), respectively. According to appendix E, we can see that $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\mathrm{f}}}}\sim\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{supersphere}}$ when $z_I\sim z_J$, where $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{supersphere}}$ is the supersphere Green function. The worldsheet supersymmetry is broken in general by the boundary condition, but it is still useful to consider this object, which we demonstrate in section 4.

2.3.2 superannulus Neumann function

Using the image method as in [15] (appendix G), the superannulus Neumann function can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{N}^{\text{superannulus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(z,\bar{z}';z,\bar{z}'\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\\
&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(\frac{z}{2},\frac{z'}{2};\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\theta'}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)+\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(\frac{\tilde{z}}{2},\frac{z'}{2};\frac{\tilde{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\theta'}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\right.\\
&\left.+\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(\frac{z}{2},\frac{\tilde{z}'}{2};\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\tilde{\theta}'}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)+\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(\frac{\tilde{z}}{2},\frac{\tilde{z}'}{2};\frac{\tilde{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\tilde{\theta}'}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\right\}\\
&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(\frac{z}{2},\frac{z'}{2};\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\theta'}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)+\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(\frac{-\bar{z}}{2},\frac{z'}{2};\frac{\pm i\bar{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\theta'}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\right.\\
&\left.+\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(\frac{z}{2},\frac{-\bar{z}'}{2};\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\pm i\bar{\theta}'}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)+\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(\frac{-\bar{z}}{2},\frac{-\bar{z}'}{2};\frac{\pm i\bar{\theta}}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\pm i\bar{\theta}'}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\right\}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.29}
$$

where $\tilde{z}$, $\tilde{z}'$, $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ denote respectively the conjugate points of z, z', θ and θ'.

3 Path integral of an NSR fermionic string and genus one vacuum amplitudes

In this section, quoting the formula (F.8) in appendix F for the path integral of an NSR fermionic string valid for any genus, we briefly review genus one vacuum amplitudes. Introducing notation to represent the contributions of the path integrals from a worldsheet chiral boson and a fermion obeying the general boundary condition specified by $\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_{\rm b}\,\beta_{\rm b}\\ \alpha_{\rm f}\,\beta_{\rm f}\end{pmatrix}$, we formulate our discussion to cover a large class of cases with toroidal compactification and its orbifolding. Here in this notation, we have labelled the bosonic case by b and the fermionic case by f.

3.1 path integral formula for an NSR fermionic string

Let the bosonic coordinates, the fermionic ones, zweibein and the Rarita - Schwinger field be X^M, $\psi_{\text{Maj}\,\alpha}{}^M$, $e_\alpha{}^m$ and $\chi_\alpha{}^m$ respectively. We denoted by $M, N, ...$, $\alpha, \beta, ...$, $m, n, ...$ and $a, b, ...$, ten dimensional vector indices, two dimensional spinor indices, two dimensional worldsheet indices, and two dimensional local Lorentz indices respectively. According to Appendix F, the

path integral formula can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\prod_I O_I\rangle &= \sum_{\text{top.}}\sum_{\text{s.s.}}\int\frac{\mathcal{D}e_m{}^a}{\Omega(\mathrm{D})\Omega(\mathrm{W})\Omega(\mathrm{L})}\int\frac{\mathcal{D}\chi_m{}^a}{\Omega(\mathrm{S})\Omega(\mathrm{SW})}\int\mathcal{D}X^M\int\mathcal{D}\psi_{\mathrm{Maj}}{}^M e^{-S}\prod_I O_I \\ &= \sum_{\text{top.}}\sum_{\text{s.s.}}\int\prod_i \mathrm{d}\tau_i\frac{1}{\Omega(\mathrm{CKV})}\det{}'(P_1^\dagger P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\det\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\det\left\langle\psi_i\left|\frac{\partial e_m{}^a}{\partial\tau_i}\right.\right\rangle \\ &\quad\times\int\prod_i \mathrm{d}a_i\frac{1}{\Omega(\mathrm{CKS})}\det{}'(P_{1/2}^\dagger P_{1/2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\det\langle\Psi_i|\Psi_j\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\det\langle\Psi_i|\Phi_j\rangle^{-1} \\ &\quad\times\int\mathcal{D}X^M\int\mathcal{D}\psi_{\mathrm{Maj}}{}^M\mathrm{e}^{-S}\prod_I O_I \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where the action is

$$\begin{aligned}S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{g}\Big\{&\frac{1}{2}g^{mn}\partial_m X^M\partial_n X_M - \frac{i}{2}\psi_{\mathrm{Maj}}{}^M\gamma^a\nabla_a\psi_{\mathrm{Maj}\ M} \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{\mathrm{Maj}}{}^M\gamma^a\gamma^b\chi_a\right)\left(\partial_b X_M - \frac{1}{4}\chi_b\psi_{\mathrm{Maj}\ M}\right)\Big\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_a &= e_a{}^m\chi_m,\quad \partial_b = e_b{}^m\partial_m \\ \nabla_a &= e_a{}^m\left(\partial_m - \omega_m\frac{1}{2}\gamma^5\right) \\ \omega_m &= e_m{}^a\varepsilon^{pq}\partial_p e_q{}^b\delta_{ab}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

For the notation in eqs. (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), please look at appendix F. Below, at one-loop, we will recast the expressions eq. (3.1) into that from the light-cone operator formalism.

3.2 superstring genus one vacuum amplitudes in flat ten dimensions

3.2.1 torus

In the case of torus, the boundary conditions for fermions in flat ten dimensions are specified by + (periodic) or − (antiperiodic) for both σ^1 and σ^2 directions:

$$\psi(\sigma^1+1,\sigma^2) = r\psi(\sigma^1,\sigma^2),\ \ r=\pm1 \tag{3.4}$$

$$\psi(\sigma^1,\sigma^2+1) = s\psi(\sigma^1,\sigma^2),\ \ s=\pm1\,. \tag{3.5}$$

Similar expressions hold for $\bar\psi$. In the notation of section 2, $r=\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha}$, $s=\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\beta}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}&r=+1\Leftrightarrow\alpha=0\,,\qquad r=-1\Leftrightarrow\alpha=\frac{1}{2} \\ &s=+1\Leftrightarrow\beta=0\,,\qquad s=-1\Leftrightarrow\beta=\frac{1}{2}\qquad\text{modulo }1\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Except for the $(+,+)$ spin structure, there is neither conformal killing spinor, nor supermoduli. For the $(+,+)$ spin structure, its contribution to the vacuum amplitude vanishes due to the integrations of ψ, $\bar{\psi}$ fermionic zero modes. The torus vacuum amplitude for IIB/IIA in flat ten dimensions is, therefore, simply written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text{flat}}^{\text{IIB/IIA}} = V_{\text{E}} \sum_{(r,s)} \sum_{(r',s')} C_{rs} \bar{C}_{r's'} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \prod_{i=1,2} \mathrm{d}\tau_i \det \left(P_1^\dagger P_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\det \Delta_{\hat{g}} \right]^{-5} \\
\det \left\langle \psi_i \middle| \frac{\partial {e_m}^a}{\partial \tau_j} \right\rangle \det \langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int \mathrm{d}^2\sigma \sqrt{\hat{g}} \right)^5 \\
\left[\det{}' \left(P_{1/2}^\dagger P_{1/2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \det \left(\gamma \cdot \partial \right)^5 \right]_{(r,s),(r',s')} ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

where $\hat{g}_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1 & \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$, and we have chosen $C_{--} = -C_{-+} = -C_{+-} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\bar{C}_{--} = -\bar{C}_{-+} = -\bar{C}_{+-} = \frac{1}{2}$, $C_{++} = \bar{C}_{++} = 0$ in accordance with the GSO projection [16] of the IIB superstring that implements the modular invariance. We have denoted by $\mathcal{F}$ the fundamental region of the torus and the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is accounted for by $SL(2,\mathbf{Z})/PSL(2,\mathbf{Z}) = \{\{\pm \mathbf{1}_2\}\}$. The Euclidean volume is denoted by V_{E}. Omitting the calculations of the Weil Petersen measure factor and those of the functional determinants, we obtain

$$
Z_{\text{flat}}^{\text{IIB/IIA}} = K V_{\text{E}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\tau}{(\tau_2)^2} \frac{1}{\tau_2^4} \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{16}} |T(\tau)|^2,
\tag{3.8}
$$

where

$$
T(\tau) = \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)^4} \left(C_{--} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^4 + C_{-+} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^4 + C_{+-} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^4 \right)
\tag{3.9}
$$

and $\eta(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{i\pi\tau}{12}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n \tau} \right)$ is the Dedekind eta function.

In this paper, we take a short cut to proceed further and to determine the normalization factor K by comparing the last expression eq. (3.8) with the vacuum amplitude evaluated in the light cone gauge operator formalism, written in terms of the $so(8)$ characters. (The overall normalization can also be seen by the one-loop free energy in local field theory):

$$
\Gamma_{\text{flat}}^{\text{IIB/IIA}} = -\frac{V_{\text{E}}}{2(4\pi^2\alpha')^5} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} (\bar{\chi} X \chi)_{\text{IIB/IIA, flat}},
\tag{3.10}
$$

where

$$
(\bar{\chi} X \chi) \equiv \sum_{i,j=\text{NS,R}} \bar{\chi}_i X_{ij} \chi_j = |V_8 - S_8/C_8|^2 \frac{1}{\tau_2^4 |\eta|^{16}}
\tag{3.11}
$$

$$
V_8 = \frac{\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^4 - \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^4}{2\eta^4}, \quad S_8/C_8 = \frac{\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^4 \pm \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}^4}{2\eta^4} = \frac{\vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}^4}{2\eta^4} .
\tag{3.12}
$$

Identifying eq. (3.8) with eq. (3.10), we obtain

$$K = -\frac{1}{(4\pi^2\alpha')^5}\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Note that, from the point of view of one-loop free energy in local field theory, $\frac{1}{(4\pi^2\alpha'\tau_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ comes from a gaussian integration over one momentum, and $-\frac{1}{2}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\tau}{\tau_2}\cdots$ comes from a proper time representation of $\log\mathrm{Det}$.

3.2.2 Klein bottle, annulus, möbius strip

Let us write eq. (3.8) as

$$Z^{\mathrm{IIB}}_{\mathrm{torus}} = \frac{1}{2}KV_{\mathrm{E}}\mathcal{T} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \int_{\mathcal{F}}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\tau}{\tau_2^2}|\chi(\tau)|^2_{\mathrm{torus}}\,,\ \ |\chi(\tau)|^2_{\mathrm{torus}} = \mathrm{Tr}q^{L_0^{(\mathrm{cyl})}}\bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0^{(\mathrm{cyl})}}, \tag{3.15}$$

where $L_0^{(\mathrm{cyl})}$ and $\bar{L}_0^{(\mathrm{cyl})}$ are the right and left Hamiltonian of the closed fermionic string on the cylinder in the light-cone gauge operator formalism.

To construct an unoriented string, namely the type I superstring , one first makes the closed string sector by the Ω (twist) projection: $\mathrm{Tr}q^{L_0^{(\mathrm{cyl})}}\bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0^{(\mathrm{cyl})}} \to \mathrm{Tr}\big(\frac{1+\Omega}{2}\big)q^{L_0^{(\mathrm{cyl})}}\bar{q}^{\bar{L}_0^{(\mathrm{cyl})}}$. We obtain

$$Z^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{closed,\,one-loop}} = \frac{1}{2}KV_{\mathrm{E}}\frac{\mathcal{T}+\mathcal{K}}{2} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\mathcal{K} = \int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^2}\sum_{i=\mathrm{NS,R}}\chi_i(2i\tau_2)\,. \tag{3.17}$$

By the similar procedure, the vacuum amplitude of the open string sector reads

$$Z^{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{open,\,one-loop}} = \frac{1}{2}KV_{\mathrm{E}}\frac{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{M}}{2} \tag{3.18}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^2}\sum_{i=\mathrm{NS,R}}\chi_i\left(\frac{i}{2}\tau_2\right)(\mathrm{cpf})^2 \tag{3.19}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^2}\sum_{i=\mathrm{NS,R}}\tilde{\chi}_i\left(\frac{i}{2}\tau_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)(\mathrm{cpf})\epsilon\,. \tag{3.20}$$

Here, cpf denotes the Chan Paton factor, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $\tilde{\chi}\big(\frac{i}{2}\tau_2+\frac{1}{2}\big)$ indicates that the replacement by $\tau \to \frac{i}{2}\tau_2+\frac{1}{2}$ in the argument is to be made only for the oscillator part. These replacements $\mathcal{K}:\tau\to 2i\tau_2$, $\mathcal{A}:\tau\to\frac{i}{2}\tau_2$, $\mathcal{M}:\tau\to\frac{i}{2}\tau_2+\frac{1}{2}$ are understood both by the twist projection in the operator formalism and by the worldsheet involutions of the worldsheet path integrals with torus as the double of the respective open Riemann surfaces.

Finally, the infrared stability seen as the cancellation of the massless poles in $Z^{\rm I}_{\rm closed,\,one-loop}+Z^{\rm I}_{\rm open,\,one-loop}$ in the transverse channel (or equivalently the cancellation of dilaton tadpoles [15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] or infinity cancellation [22, 15]) selects cpf $=2^5=32$, $\epsilon=-1$ and the gauge group $SO(32)$ [23].

3.3 generalization to cases of toroidal compactification and their orbifolding

In order to proceed even further and to prepare for calculation of string scattering amplitudes in section 4, we will introduce notation for the integrand of the string one-loop partition function. Let us, in particular, write $(\bar\chi X\chi)_{\rm IIB/IIA\ flat}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&(\bar\chi X\chi)_{\rm IIB/IIA\ flat}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^8-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^8-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^8\mp\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^8\right)\overline{\frac{1}{2}\left(\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^8-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^8-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^8\mp\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^8\right)}\\ &\equiv\sum_{\nu,\bar\nu}\mathcal{J}_{\nu,\bar\nu,{\rm IIB/IIA,flat}}\ .\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

Here we have introduced

$$\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}r_{\rm b}&s_{\rm b}\\r_{\rm f}&s_{\rm f}\end{smallmatrix}}\left(\equiv\boxed{\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_{\rm b}&\beta_{\rm b}\\\alpha_{\rm f}&\beta_{\rm f}\end{smallmatrix}}}\right)\tag{3.22}$$

in order to represent the contribution from a single chiral boson and fermion obeying the boundary conditions $(\alpha_{\rm b},\beta_{\rm b})$ and $(\alpha_{\rm f},\beta_{\rm f})$ respectively:

$$\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\r_{\rm f}&s_{\rm f}\end{smallmatrix}}=\boxed{\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}0&0\\\alpha_{\rm f}&\beta_{\rm f}\end{smallmatrix}}}=\sqrt{\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12+\alpha_{\rm f}\\\frac12+\beta_{\rm f}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\eta^3}}\ .\tag{3.23}$$

The power $8=10-2$ seen in eq. (3.21) permits covariant interpretation as the 2d metric and 2d gravitino fields obey the same boundary condition as the worldsheet bosons and fermions do respectively. As a simple prototypical example, let us consider IIB string on $T^4(=(S^1)^4)/\mathbf{Z}_2$

with radii of S^1 being R_I, $I = 5, 6, 7, 8$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\bar{\chi} X \chi)_{\mathrm{IIB}, T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8} F_2(a_I, \tau)\right) (\bar{\chi} X \chi)_{\mathrm{IIB,\,flat}} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8} a_I\sqrt{\tau_2}\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\right) \\
&\qquad\times\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8} a_I\sqrt{\tau_2}\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\right) \\
&\qquad\times\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8} a_I\sqrt{\tau_2}\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&-\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&-\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&-\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&-\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\right) \\
&\qquad\times\frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&-\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&-\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&-\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}-&-\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4}\right) \\
&\equiv \sum_{\nu,\bar{\nu}} \mathcal{J}_{\nu,\bar{\nu},\mathrm{IIB},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.24}
$$

where $F_2(a_I, \tau) \equiv a_I\sqrt{\tau_2}\sum_{\ell,m\in\mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{4}(ma_I+\frac{\ell}{a_I})^2}\bar{q}^{\frac{1}{4}(ma_I-\frac{\ell}{a_I})^2}$ and $a_I \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'}}{R_I}$. The first line represents the contribution from the T^4 compactification without $\mathbf{Z}_2$ insertion, the second, the third and the fourth lines represent the contributions from the untwisted sector with $\mathbf{Z}_2$ insertion, the $\mathbf{Z}_2$ twisted sector and the $\mathbf{Z}_2$ twisted sector with the $\mathbf{Z}_2$ insertion respectively. In each term inside the bracket, the first bin represents the spacetime part and the second bin the internal part. Referring to the character of $c = 1$, $\mathbf{Z}_2$ orbifold, we are able to see

$$
\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}r_{\mathrm{b}}&s_{\mathrm{b}}\\r_{\mathrm{f}}&s_{\mathrm{f}}\end{smallmatrix}} = \boxed{\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_{\mathrm{b}}&\beta_{\mathrm{b}}\\\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}&\beta_{\mathrm{f}}\end{smallmatrix}}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\eta}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_{\mathrm{b}}\\\frac{1}{2}+\beta_{\mathrm{b}}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}}\sqrt{\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}\\\frac{1}{2}+\beta_{\mathrm{f}}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\eta}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}\\\frac{1}{2}+\beta_{\mathrm{f}}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}+\alpha_{\mathrm{b}}\\\frac{1}{2}+\beta_{\mathrm{b}}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}}
$$

$$
\text{for } (\alpha_{\mathrm{b}}, \beta_{\mathrm{b}}) = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right), \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) . \tag{3.25}
$$

Here, the arguments of the theta constants are modulo 1 and the non-integer parts are understood to be taken. Note that, in this notation, we have included the contribution from the $2^4 = 16$ fixed points in the twisted sector in eq. (3.25).

3.4 Open superstring on $T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2$

Another prototypical example which we will consider in the next section is the open string sector in the type I superstring on $T^4(=(S^1)^4)/\mathbf{Z}_2$. The partition function is

$$Z_{\mathrm{I},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2} = -\frac{V_{\mathrm{E}}}{2}\frac{1}{(4\pi^2\alpha')^5}\frac{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{I},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2}+\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{I},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2}}{2} \tag{3.26}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{I},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2}\frac{1}{\tau_2^5}\,\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{I},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2}\big|_{\tau=\frac{i}{2}\tau_2} \tag{3.27}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{I},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2}\frac{1}{\tau_2^5}\,\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathrm{I},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2}\Big|_{\tau=\frac{i}{2}\tau_2+\frac{1}{2}}\,. \tag{3.28}$$

Among the many possibilities discussed in [24, 21, 20], where the dilaton tadpoles cancel, we will consider the simplest case where the gauge group is $U(n=16)_{(9)}\times U(d=16)_{(5)}$ with all of the D5 branes at the same fixed point and the first and the second subscripts indicate D9 and D5 brane respectively[2].

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{I},T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2}\\
&=\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{I},T^4}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}^{(\mathbf{Z}_2)}_{\mathrm{I},T^4}\\
&=(2n)^2\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8}F_1(a_I,\tau_2)\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^8-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^8-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^8-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^8\right)\\
&-(2d)^2\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8}(a_I\sqrt{\tau_2})\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4-\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\+&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\+&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\right)\\
&\equiv\frac{1}{2}\sum_\nu\mathcal{J}_\nu+\frac{1}{2}\sum_\nu\mathcal{J}^{(\mathbf{Z}_2)}_\nu\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where $F_1(a_I,\tau_2)=a_I\sqrt{\tau_2}\sum_{p_I}\mathrm{e}^{-tp_Ip^I}$, $\pi\tau_2\equiv\frac{t}{\alpha'}$. See also [33, 34, 35, 36, 37].

4 One-loop superstring amplitudes with non-maximal supersymmetry

In this section, we apply the genus one super Green function constructed under the general twists in the (σ,τ) directions to superstring amplitudes. For simplicity, we illustrate this by the annulus contribution to the open superstring amplitudes of the compactification in section 3.4, but our procedure is applicable to a large class of toroidal models and their orbifolding of closed and open superstrings including heterotic string [38] compactifications.

[2]Other aspects of this series of model are discussed in [25]-[32].

4.1 Neumann functions with arguments on the boundary

In order to proceed to the computation, we need the Neumann function for the superannulus under a variety of boundary conditions for a worldsheet boson and a worldsheet fermion specified by $\binom{\nu_{\rm b}}{\nu_{\rm f}}$ and with the arguments set on the same boundary. The Neumann function for the Möbius strip case can be read off from the annulus case by the change of the arguments in the theta functions and will not be discussed explicitly here. For the case of $\binom{++}{\nu_{\rm f}}$, which is always

needed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{N}^{\text{superannulus}}_{\substack{++\\ \nu_{\mathrm{f}}}}\left(z_I,\bar{z}_I;z_J,\bar{z}_J\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\\
&\quad\overset{\text{on } z=\tilde{z},\theta=\tilde{\theta}}{=}\frac{1}{2}\,4\mathbf{G}^{\text{supertorus}}_{\substack{++\\ \nu_{\mathrm{f}}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2},\frac{z_J}{2};\frac{\theta_I}{\sqrt{2}},\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Bigg|_{(z,\theta)=(\tilde{z},\tilde{\theta})\equiv(-\bar{z},\pm i\bar{\theta})}\\
&\quad=\frac{4}{2}\left[G_{++}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)+\frac{\frac{\theta_I}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}}{4}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.-\frac{\frac{\bar{\theta}_I}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\bar{\theta}_J}{\sqrt{2}}}{4}\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\right]\Bigg|_{(z,\theta)=(\tilde{z},\tilde{\theta})\equiv(-\bar{z},\pm i\bar{\theta})}\\
&\quad=2\left[G_{++}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Bigg|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}}+\frac{\frac{\theta_I}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}}{4}\,\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Bigg|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}}\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.-\frac{\frac{(\mp i\theta_I)}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{(\mp i\theta_J)}{\sqrt{2}}}{4}\,\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Bigg|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}}\right]\\
&\quad\overset{\text{eqs.}(C.8),(C.9),(2.20)}{=}2\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln\left|\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}\right|+\frac{(z_I-z_J)^2}{4\tau_2}\right.\\
&\qquad+\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-q^n|-\frac{z_I-z_J}{2\cdot 2i}+\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(2\pi)+2\frac{\tau_2}{2}\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right\}\\
&\qquad\left.+\frac{\frac{\theta_I}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}}{4}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)+\frac{\frac{\theta_I}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}}{4}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\right]\\
&\quad=\frac{2}{2\pi}\ln\left|\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}\right|+\frac{2(z_I-z_J)^2}{4\tau_2}+\frac{2\cdot 2}{4}\frac{\theta_I}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\\
&\qquad+2\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-q^n|-\frac{z_I-z_J}{2\cdot 2i}+\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(2\pi)+2\frac{\tau_2}{2}\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right\}\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{\pi}\ln\left|\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}\right|+\frac{(z_I-z_J)^2}{2\tau_2}+\frac{\theta_I}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\\
&\qquad+2\left\{\frac{1}{2\pi}2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-q^n|-\frac{z_I-z_J}{2\cdot 2i}+\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(2\pi)+2\frac{\tau_2}{2}\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right\}\,. \qquad (4.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last line of eq. (4.1) can be dropped in the calculation of amplitudes as the source $\mathbf{J}$ satisfies $\int \mathrm{d}^2z\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\bar{\theta}\mathbf{J}=0$. We need the case $\binom{+-}{\nu_{\rm f}}$ as well:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{N}^{\rm superannulus}_{\substack{+-\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}\left(z_J,\bar{z}_J;z_K,\bar{z}_K\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\\
&\quad\overset{\text{on } z=\tilde{z},\theta=\tilde{\theta}}{=} 2\left[G_{+-}\left(\frac{z_J}{2};\frac{z_K}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}}+\frac{\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\theta_K}{\sqrt{2}}}{4}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\rm f}}\left(\frac{z_J}{2};\frac{z_K}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{\frac{(\mp i\theta_J)}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{(\mp i\theta_K)}{\sqrt{2}}}{4}\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\nu_{\rm f}}\left(\frac{z_J}{2};\frac{z_K}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}}\right]\\
&\quad=2\,G_{+-}\left(\frac{z_J}{2};\frac{z_K}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}}+\frac{\theta_J}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\theta_K}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\rm f}}\left(\frac{z_J}{2};\frac{z_K}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

Note that, for closed string models with $\mathbf{Z}_2$ insertion, $\mathbf{G}^{\rm supertorus}_{\substack{-+\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}$ and $\mathbf{G}^{\rm supertorus}_{\substack{--\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}$ are needed in order to evaluate the contributions from the twisted sectors. Likewise, $\mathbf{N}^{\rm superannulus}_{\substack{-+\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}$, $\mathbf{N}^{\rm superannulus}_{\substack{--\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}$ are needed in the case of a 5-9 string.

4.2 Koba-Nielsen type formula for genus one superstring amplitudes

Let $\prod_{I=1}^{N}O_I$ be the product of N vertex operators $\zeta_I^{(\rm P)}\cdot\int\mathrm{d}z_I\int\mathrm{d}\theta_I D_I\mathbf{X}(z_I,\theta_I)\mathrm{e}^{ik_I\cdot\mathbf{X}(z_I,\theta_I)}$, $I=1,...,N$, for massless vector emission of an open superstring. It can be written as

$$\prod_{I=1}^{N}O_I=\prod_{J=1}^{N}\left(\zeta_J^{(\rm P)}\cdot\int\mathrm{d}\eta_J\int\mathrm{d}z_J\int\mathrm{d}\theta_J\right)\exp\left[i\int\mathrm{d}^2z\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\bar{\theta}\mathbf{J}(z,\bar{z},\theta,\bar{\theta})\cdot\mathbf{X}(z,\bar{z},\theta,\bar{\theta})\right]\,,\tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathbf{J}^M(z,\bar{z},\theta,\bar{\theta})=\sum_{I=1}^{N}(k_I^M-i\eta_I^M D_I)\delta^{(2)}(z-z_I)(\theta-\theta_I)(\bar{\theta}-\bar{\theta}_I)\,.\tag{4.4}$$

Here we have introduced the grassmann source η_J, $J=1,2,3,...,N$, for this representation. Following appendix F, we carry out the gaussian integration[3] and the sum $\mathcal{S}$ over the boundary conditions.

Let $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}'\oplus\mathcal{S}_{(++)}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{(++)}$ is the part of the sum which contains $(++)$ to some power in $\nu_{\rm f}$. For these parity-violating cases [44], it is well-known that the amplitudes for lower N vanish. Ignoring these cases in this paper, let us denote the remaining part of the N point amplitude for the case labelled by $\bullet$ by

$$A'^{\bullet}_{N}=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(V_{\rm E}\delta)\,g^N}{(4\pi^2\alpha')^5}\frac{\mathcal{A}'^{\bullet}_{N}+\mathcal{M}'^{\bullet}_{N}}{2}\,.\tag{4.5}$$

[3]See [15]. See also [39, 40, 41, 42, 43] for different approaches of computation.

Here, we have denoted by $(V_{\rm E}\delta)$ a product of the momentum conserving delta functions $(2\pi)^{d_{\rm dim}}\delta^{(d_{\rm dim})}\left(\sum_I k_I\right)$ (that appear from the integrations of the zero modes of the bosonic coordinates) and the volume of the compactification $V_{\rm c}$. The annulus and the Möbius strip contributions are denoted by $\mathcal{A}'^{\bullet}_N$ and $\mathcal{M}'^{\bullet}_N$ respectively, and

$$\mathcal{A}'^{\bullet}_N = \int_0^\infty \frac{{\rm d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^6} \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{S}'} \mathcal{J}^{\bullet}_\nu \prod_{J=1}^N \left(\zeta_J^{(\rm P)} \cdot \int {\rm d}\eta_J \int {\rm d}z_J \int {\rm d}\theta_J\right) \exp\left[\pi\alpha' \sum_{I,J=1}^N (k_I - i\eta_I D_I)^M (k_J - i\eta_J D_J)^L \mathbf{N}'_{ML,\nu}\right]\Big|_{\tau=\frac{i}{2}\tau_2} \tag{4.6}$$

$$\mathcal{M}'^{\bullet}_N = \int_0^\infty \frac{{\rm d}\tau_2}{\tau_2^6} \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{S}'} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^{\bullet}_\nu \prod_{J=1}^N \left(\zeta_J^{(\rm P)} \cdot \int {\rm d}\eta_J \int {\rm d}z_J \int {\rm d}\theta_J\right) \exp\left[\pi\alpha' \sum_{I,J=1}^N (k_I - i\eta_I D_I)^M (k_J - i\eta_J D_J)^L \mathbf{N}'_{ML,\nu}\right]\Big|_{\tau=\frac{i}{2}\tau_2+\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4.7}$$

in accordance with eqs. (3.20) and (3.28). We will restrict our attention to the annulus case from now on.

We have denoted by $\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{S}'} \mathcal{J}^{\bullet}_\nu$ the part of the integrand which has appeared in the vacuum amplitude, (for instance, eq. (3.29)) and $\mathbf{N}'_{ML,\nu}$ indicates the superannulus Neumann function specified by the boundary condition $\nu = \binom{\nu_{\rm b}}{\nu_{\rm f}}$ which is determined by the spacetime indices M, L. The prime $'$ indicates the omission of the bosonic and fermionic zero modes.

Let us analyze the exponential part of the integrand in eq. (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}\exp[\cdots] = \exp&\left[2\alpha' \sum_{1\le I<J\le N} k_I^M k_J^L \pi N'^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\rm b}}\right] \\ \exp&\Big[2\alpha' \sum_{1\le I<J\le N} \big\{ik_I^M\theta_I k_J^L\theta_J B^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\rm f}} \\ &+(-\eta_I^M k_J^L\theta_J + \eta_J^L k_I^M\theta_I)B^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\rm f}} + (\eta_I^M\theta_I k_J^L - \eta_J^L\theta_J k_I^M)C^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\rm b}} \\ &-i\eta_I^M\eta_J^L B^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\rm f}} \\ &+\eta_I^M\eta_J^L\theta_I\theta_J E^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\rm b}}\big\}\Big] ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where the $I = J$ part vanishes by the on-shell condition. Following [15], let us label the first, the second, the third and the fourth line of the exponent by the number of η's and by the number of θ's, namely, $[0,2]$, $[1,1]$, $[2,0]$, $[2,2]$ respectively. Also upon compactification, namely the

division of the pair of indices (M,L) into the spacetime part (μ,λ) and the internal part (ℓ,ℓ'), we set the internal part of the momenta $k_I^\ell = 0$ for simplicity. Index structure of $\pi N^{IJ}_{ML,++}$, $\pi N^{IJ}_{ML,+-}$, $B^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\rm f}}$, $C^{IJ}_{ML,++}$, $C^{IJ}_{ML,+-}$, $E^{IJ}_{ML,++}$, $E^{IJ}_{ML,+-}$ are of the form $\sum_{\bullet\bullet} g_{\bullet\bullet} X^{IJ}_{\nu(\bullet\bullet)}$ and the expressions are read off from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi N^{IJ}_{++} &\equiv \ln\left|\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}\right| + \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{(z_I-z_J)^2}{\tau_2} \\
B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\rm f}} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\frac{\pi}{i}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\rm f}}(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)} \\
C^{IJ}_{++} &\equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z_I}\left[\pi N^{IJ}_{++}\right]\big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)} + \pi\frac{z_I-z_J}{\tau_2} \\
E^{IJ}_{++} &\equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial z_I}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_J}\left[\pi N^{IJ}_{++}\right]\big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{\vartheta''\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)} - \left(\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}\right)^2\right\} + \frac{\pi}{\tau_2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi N^{IJ}_{+-} &\equiv 2\pi\, G_{+-}\left(\frac{z_I}{2};\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)\Big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}} - \left[2\pi{\rm Im}\frac{z_J}{2} - (2\pi)\pi^2\tau_2\right] \\
&= \ln\left|\sqrt{\zeta_I}-\sqrt{\zeta_J}\right| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m \ln\left|1-\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_I}(\sqrt{|q|})^m}{\sqrt{\zeta_J}}\right|\left|1-\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_J}(\sqrt{|q|})^m}{\sqrt{\zeta_I}}\right| \\
C^{IJ}_{+-} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_I}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_J}\right)\left[\pi N^{IJ}_{+-}\right]\big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}} \\
&= \frac{\pi i}{2}\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_I}+\sqrt{\zeta_J}}{\sqrt{\zeta_I}-\sqrt{\zeta_J}} + \pi i\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\left\{\frac{1}{1-\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_J}}{\sqrt{\zeta_I}(\sqrt{|q|})^m}} - \frac{1}{1-\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_I}}{\sqrt{\zeta_J}(\sqrt{|q|})^m}}\right\} \\
E^{IJ}_{+-} &\equiv (-1)\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_I}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_J}\right)\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_J}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_I}\right)\left[\pi N^{IJ}_{+-}\right]\big|_{z=\tilde{z}\equiv-\bar{z}} \\
&= -(\pi i)^2\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_I}\sqrt{\zeta_J}}{\left(\sqrt{\zeta_I}-\sqrt{\zeta_J}\right)^2} - (\pi i)^2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\left\{\frac{\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_J}}{\sqrt{\zeta_I}(\sqrt{|q|})^m}}{\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_J}}{\sqrt{\zeta_I}(\sqrt{|q|})^m}\right)^2} + \frac{\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_I}}{\sqrt{\zeta_J}(\sqrt{|q|})^m}}{\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{\zeta_I}}{\sqrt{\zeta_J}(\sqrt{|q|})^m}\right)^2}\right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.10}
$$

See appendix H for these properties.

4.3 Analysis and evaluation of $N = 1, 2, 3$ cases

We will now analyze a few simplest cases. Let us first obtain a few generic features of the amplitudes from the integral representation. First, in order to obtain a non-vanishing amplitude, all grassmann integrations must be saturated. Also, under the assumption made in the last subsection, $\sum_I k_I^M = 0$ for $M = 0, 1, ..., 9$. Note that, the zero mode is absent in the expansion of X^ℓ, $\ell = 5, 6, 7, 8$, and that momentum conservation is not ensured.

I) $N = 1$; the amplitude vanishes generally and trivially as such case is absent in the integrand.

II) $N = 2$; there is no contribution from $[0, 2][2, 0]$ or from $[1, 1]^2$ by $k_I \cdot k_J = k_I \cdot \zeta_J^{(\mathrm{P})} = 0$ for $I, J = 1, 2$. Neither is there any contribution from $[2, 2]$ which does not involve ν_{f} by the same reason that the vacuum amplitude vanishes by the Jacobi identity or supersymmetry.

III) $N = 3$; this case poses the general question of the presence or absence of the vertex correction. There is no contribution form the parts in which $[0, 2]$ is involved as $k_I \cdot k_J = 0$ for $I, J = 1, 2, 3$. The remaining possibilities for a non-vanishing amplitude are $[1, 1]^3$ and $[1, 1][2, 2]$. Among them, the parts which do not involve $B^{IJ}_{\bullet\bullet,\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}$ vanish after the summation over ν_{f} by the Jacobi identity or supersymmetry. We conclude that the possibilities are contained in $[1, 1]$ of $B^{12}_{ML,\nu_{\mathrm{f}}} B^{23}_{ML,\nu_{\mathrm{f}}} B^{31}_{ML,\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}$ type and of $(B^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\mathrm{f}}})^2 C^{IJ}_{ML,\nu_{\mathrm{b}}}$ type.

4.3.1 case of maximal supersymmetry

In this case, namely, in the case of flat 10d and its toroidal compactifications, it is well-known that the vanishing of these two types after the summation over ν_{f} is established, (see, for example, [45]) by the Riemann identity eq. (C.11). In fact

$$\mathcal{J}_\nu = (2n)^2 \left(\prod_I F_1(a_I, \tau_2) \right) C_\nu \frac{\vartheta_\nu(0)^4}{\eta^{12}} \tag{4.11}$$

according to eqs. (3.23) and (3.29) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\eta^{12}}{(2n)^2\left(\prod_I F_I(a_I,\tau_2)\right)}\sum_{\nu_{\rm f}}\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\rm f}}(B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\rm f}})^2\\
&=\sum_{\nu_{\rm f}} C_{\nu_{\rm f}}\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)^4(B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\rm f}})^2\\
&=\sum_{\nu_{\rm f}} C_{\nu_{\rm f}}\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)^4\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2})}{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2})}\right)^2\\
&\overset{\text{eq.(C.11)}}{=}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2})}\right)^2 2\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\right)\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)\\
&=0\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.12}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_1&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{0+0+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\right\}=\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\\
y_1&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{0+0-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\right\}=-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\\
u_1&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{0-0+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\right\}=0\\
v_1&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{0-0-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\right\}=0\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.13}
$$

and we have used $\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)=0$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\eta^{12}}{(2n)^2\left(\prod_I F_I(a_I,\tau_2)\right)}\sum_{\nu_{\rm f}}\mathcal{J}_{\nu_{\rm f}}B^{12}_{\nu_{\rm f}}B^{23}_{\nu_{\rm f}}B^{13}_{\nu_{\rm f}}\\
&=\sum_{\nu_{\rm f}}C_{\nu_{\rm f}}\vartheta_{\nu\nu_{\rm f}}(0)^4B^{12}_{\nu_{\rm f}}B^{23}_{\nu_{\rm f}}B^{13}_{\nu_{\rm f}}\\
&=\sum_{\nu_{\rm f}}C_{\nu_{\rm f}}\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)^4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3\left(\frac{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2})}{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2})}\right)\\
&\qquad\times\left(\frac{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2})}{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2})}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2})}{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2})}\right)\\
&\overset{\text{eq.(C.11)}}{=}\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)^3}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2})\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2})\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2})}\\
&\qquad\times2\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_3}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_1}{2}\right)\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)\\
&=0\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.14}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_1&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{0+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)\right\}=\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)\\
y_1&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{0+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)\right\}=\left(\frac{z_3}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\\
u_1&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{0-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)\right\}=\left(\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_1}{2}\right)\\
v_1&=\frac{1}{2}\left\{0-\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_2}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{z_2}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{z_1}{2}-\frac{z_3}{2}\right)\right\}=0\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15}
$$

4.3.2 case of non-maximal supersymmetry

Finally, let us consider the case of type I superstring on $T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2$. Among the summation over $\nu_{\rm f}$, only the part belonging to $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}^{(\mathbf{Z}_2)}_{{\rm I},T^4}$ in eq. (3.29) contributes to the $N=3$ amplitude. So we will concentrate on this case. The integrations of the exponential factor eq. (4.8) over the grassmann coordinates $\prod_{J=1}^{3}\zeta_J^{(\rm P)}\cdot\int{\rm d}\eta_J\int{\rm d}\theta_J\exp[\cdots]$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2\alpha')^3k_3\cdot\zeta_1^{(\rm P)}k_1\cdot\zeta_2^{(\rm P)}k_2\cdot\zeta_3^{(\rm P)}\ &\left\{-2B^{12}_{\nu_{\rm f}^6}B^{23}_{\nu_{\rm f}^6}B^{31}_{\nu_{\rm f}^6}+\left(B^{12}_{\nu_{\rm f}^6}\right)^2\left(C^{13}_{++}-C^{23}_{++}\right)\right.\\
&\left.+\left(B^{23}_{\nu_{\rm f}^6}\right)^2\left(C^{21}_{++}-C^{31}_{++}\right)+\left(B^{31}_{\nu_{\rm f}^6}\right)^2\left(C^{32}_{++}-C^{12}_{++}\right)\right\}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.16}
$$

Coming back to eq. (4.6), we obtain the expression for ${\mathcal{A}'_3}^{T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
{\mathcal{A}'_3}^{T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2} = &(2n)\mathrm{Tr}\left(T^1T^2T^3\right)(2\alpha')^3(k_3\cdot\zeta_1^{(\mathrm{P})})(k_1\cdot\zeta_2^{(\mathrm{P})})(k_2\cdot\zeta_3^{(\mathrm{P})})\\
&\times\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2}\frac{1}{\tau_2^5}\left(\prod_{I=1,2,3}\int\mathrm{d}z_I\right)\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{S}'}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}'^{(\mathbf{Z}_2)}_\nu\\
&\times\Big\{-2B^{12}_{\nu_\mathrm{f}^6}B^{23}_{\nu_\mathrm{f}^6}B^{31}_{\nu_\mathrm{f}^6}+\left(B^{12}_{\nu_\mathrm{f}^6}\right)^2\left(C^{13}_{++}-C^{23}_{++}\right)\\
&\qquad+\left(B^{23}_{\nu_\mathrm{f}^6}\right)^2\left(C^{21}_{++}-C^{31}_{++}\right)+\left(B^{31}_{\nu_\mathrm{f}^6}\right)^2\left(C^{32}_{++}-C^{12}_{++}\right)\Big\}\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

where $\mathcal{J}'^{(\mathbf{Z}_2)}_\nu$ has been introduced in eq. (3.29) and ν_f^6 refers to the spacetime part (bin) of ν_f. Let us recall from eqs. (3.23), (3.25)

$$\begin{aligned}
\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^2&=\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\eta^3}\,,\qquad \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^2=\frac{2\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}\,,\\
\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^2&=\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\eta^3}\,,\qquad \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^2=\frac{2\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}\,,\ \text{and therefore}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4=\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&+\\-&+\end{smallmatrix}}^4\boxed{\begin{smallmatrix}+&-\\-&-\end{smallmatrix}}^4=\frac{4}{\eta^6}\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)^2\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)^2}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)^2}\tag{4.19}$$

as well as from eq. (4.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{IJ}_{--}&=\frac12\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}\\
B^{IJ}_{-+}&=\frac12\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}\\
C^{IJ}_{++}&=\frac12\frac{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac12\\\frac12\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}+\pi\frac{z_I-z_J}{\tau_2}\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}_3'^{T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2} \\
&= (2n)\mathrm{Tr}\left(T^1T^2T^3\right)(2\alpha')^3(k_3\cdot\zeta_1^{(\mathrm{P})})(k_1\cdot\zeta_2^{(\mathrm{P})})(k_2\cdot\zeta_3^{(\mathrm{P})})\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2}\frac{1}{\tau_2^5}\left(\prod_{I=1,2,3}\int\mathrm{d}z_I\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \\
&\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8}(a_I\sqrt{\tau_2})\right)\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right]^2(0)\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right]^2(0)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_0\right]^2(0)\eta^6}\left[\frac{(-2)\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right]^3(0)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](1-2)\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](2-3)\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](3-1)}\right. \\
&\cdot\left\{\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right](1-2)\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right](2-3)\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right](3-1)}{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right]^3(0)}-\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right](1-2)\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right](2-3)\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right](3-1)}{\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right]^3(0)}\right\} \\
&+\left\{\left(\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right](1-2)}{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right](0)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](1-2)}\right)^2-\left(\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right](1-2)}{\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right](0)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](0)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](1-2)}\right)^2\right\} \\
&\qquad\times\left(\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](1-3)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](1-3)}-\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](2-3)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](2-3)}+2\pi(z_1-z_2)\right) \\
&+\{1\to2,2\to3,3\to1\}\{1\to2,2\to3,3\to1\}\text{ in the second term} \\
&\left.+\{1\to2,2\to3,3\to1\}\{1\to2,2\to3,3\to1\}\text{ in the second term}\right]\Big|_{\tau=\frac{i\tau_2}{2}}\ , \qquad (4.21)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have introduced shorthand notation $\vartheta\left[{}^\alpha_\beta\right](I-J)\equiv\vartheta\left[{}^\alpha_\beta\right]\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)$. The second, third and fourth terms in eq. (4.21) can be further converted by using eq. (C.12):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{S}'}\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}'^{(\mathbf{Z}_2)}_{\nu,\,\mathcal{A}}(B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}^6})^2 \\
&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8}(a_I\sqrt{\tau_2})\right)\frac{1}{2}\frac{4}{\eta^6}\left(\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right]^2(0)\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right]^2(0)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_0\right]^2(0)}\right)\left\{(B^{IJ}_{--})^2-(B^{IJ}_{-+})^2\right\} \\
&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8}(a_I\sqrt{\tau_2})\right)\frac{1}{2}\frac{4}{\eta^6}\left(\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right]^2(0)\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right]^2(0)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_0\right]^2(0)}\right) \\
&\times\left\{\frac{1}{2^2}\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right]^2\left(I-J\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right]^2\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right]^2\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right]^2\left(I-J\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{2^2}\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right]^2\left(I-J\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right]^2\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right]^2\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right]^2\left(I-J\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)}\right\} \\
&\overset{\text{eq.}(C.12)}{=}-\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8}(a_I\sqrt{\tau_2})\right)\frac{1}{\eta^6}\frac{1}{2^2}\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right]^2\left(0\,\middle|\,\frac{i\tau}{2}\right)\ , \qquad (4.22)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in eq. (C.12),

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)+0+0\right\} = \frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2} \\
y_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)-0-0\right\} = \frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2} \\
u_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)+0-0\right\} = 0 \\
v_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)-0+0\right\} = 0\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.23}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}_3'^{\,T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2} \\
&= (2n)\mathrm{Tr}\left(T^1T^2T^3\right)(2\alpha')^3(k_3\cdot\zeta_1^{(\mathrm{P})})(k_1\cdot\zeta_2^{(\mathrm{P})})(k_2\cdot\zeta_3^{(\mathrm{P})})\int_0^\infty\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_2}{\tau_2}\frac{1}{\tau_2^5}\left(\prod_{I=1,2,3}\int\mathrm{d}z_I\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \\
&\left(\prod_{I=5,6,7,8}(a_I\sqrt{\tau_2})\right)\frac{1}{\eta^6}\left[\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right]^2(0)\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right]^2(0)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_0\right]^2(0)}\frac{(-2)\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right]^3(0)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](1-2)\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](2-3)\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](3-1)}\right. \\
&\cdot\left\{\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right](1-2)\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right](2-3)\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right](3-1)}{\vartheta\left[{}^0_0\right]^3(0)}-\frac{\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right](1-2)\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right](2-3)\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right](3-1)}{\vartheta\left[{}^0_{\frac12}\right]^3(0)}\right\} \\
&\left.+2\left(\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](1-2)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](1-2)}+\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](2-3)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](2-3)}+\frac{\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](3-1)}{\vartheta\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right](3-1)}\right)\vartheta'\left[{}^{\frac12}_{\frac12}\right]^2(0)\right]\Bigg|_{\tau=\frac{i\tau_2}{2}}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.24}
$$

Unlike the case of maximal supersymmetry, after nationalizing, each term consists of the product of different ϑ functions and we do not find the use of the Riemann identity.

Acknowledgements

We thank Takeshi Oota, Reiji Yoshioka, Nobuhito Maru and Takao Suyama for helpful discussions. We also thank Takahiro Kubota for providing us with his useful lecture note. The research is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan (15K05059).

A Some of the notation

The complex coordinates on the worldsheet torus are denoted by

$$z \equiv \sigma^1 + \tau\sigma^2 \,, \quad \bar{z} = \sigma^1 + \bar{\tau}\sigma^2 \qquad (0 \le \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \le 1) \tag{A.1}$$

with modular parameter $\tau \equiv \tau_1 + i\tau_2$.

The Laplacian is defined by

$$\Delta \equiv 4\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \,. \tag{A.2}$$

We introduce a real superfield by

$$\begin{gathered}\mathbf{X}^M(z,\bar{z},\theta,\bar{\theta}) = X^M(z,\bar{z}) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\theta\psi^M(z,\bar{z}) + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{\psi}^M(z,\bar{z})\bar{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\theta\bar{\theta}F^M(z,\bar{z}) \\ M = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 9\end{gathered} \tag{A.3}$$

where θ and $\bar{\theta}$ are Grassmann numbers, $X^M(z,\bar{z})$ and $\psi^M(z,\bar{z})$ are bosonic and fermionic fields, and $F^M(z,\bar{z})$ is a auxiliary field. The super-derivatives are defined by

$$D = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + i\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \,, \;\; \bar{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}} - i\bar{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}} \,. \tag{A.4}$$

B Normalization in eq. (2.1)

Let us determine the normalization N in

$$\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2) = N\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_1+\alpha)\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n_2+\beta)\sigma^2} \,. \tag{B.1}$$

The inner product with functions f, g is defined

$$(f,g) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma^1 \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}\sigma^2 \sqrt{\hat{g}} f^* g \,. \tag{B.2}$$

On a torus geometry

$$\hat{g}_{ab}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1 & \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sqrt{\hat{g}} = \sqrt{\det\,\hat{g}_{ab}} = \tau_2 \,, \tag{B.3}$$

the orthonormality of $\Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)$ implies

$$\delta_{m_1,n_1}\delta_{m_2,n_2} = \left(\Phi_{m_1,m_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2), \Phi_{n_1,n_2}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(\sigma^1,\sigma^2)\right) = |N|^2\tau_2\delta_{m_1,n_1}\delta_{m_2,n_2} \,. \tag{B.4}$$

Hence we take

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}} \,. \tag{B.5}$$

C Some formulae

C.1 Gauss hypergeometric function

Gauss hypergeometric function is

$$F(\alpha,\beta,\gamma;z) = {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n}\frac{z^n}{n!}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)}\int_0^1 t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1}(1-tz)^{-\alpha}\mathrm{d}t\ , \qquad \text{(C.1)}$$

where

$$(\alpha)_n = \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\ , \qquad (\alpha)_0 = 1\ . \qquad \text{(C.2)}$$

In order to obtain the second line in eq. (C.1), we must have

- $\mathrm{Re}\gamma > \mathrm{Re}\beta > 0$,
- z can not be the real number which is greater than 1,
- $(1-tz)^{-\alpha}$ takes the branch which goes to 1 as $t \to 0$.

When $b = 1$, $k = 0$, the formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{(a+nb)(a+nb+1)\cdots(a+nb+k)} = \frac{1}{k!}\int_0^1 \frac{t^{a-1}(1-t)^k}{1-xt^b}\mathrm{d}t\ , \qquad [a,b>0,\ |x|<1] \qquad \text{(C.3)}$$

can be written as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{x^n}{n+a} = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{a-1}}{1-tx}\mathrm{d}t = \frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(1+a)}F(1,a,1+a;x)\ . \qquad \text{(C.4)}$$

Thanks to eq. (C.4),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n+a}\frac{x^{n+a}}{1-Cy^{n+a}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n+a}x^{n+a}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(Cy^{n+a}\right)^m = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}x^a(Cy^a)^m\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(xy^m)^n}{n+a}\\ &\overset{(C.4)}{=} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}x^a(Cy^a)^m\frac{\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(1+a)}F(1,a,1+a;xy^m)\\ &= \frac{x^a\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(1+a)}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(Cy^a)^m F(1,a,1+a;xy^m) \qquad \text{(C.5)}\end{aligned}$$

with $a > 0$, $m \in \{0, \mathbf{N}\}$ and $|xy^m| < 1$.

C.2 Jacobi theta function

We define the Jacobi theta function as

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{e}^{\pi i(n+\alpha)^2\tau}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n+\alpha)(z+\beta)} \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha(z+\beta)}\mathrm{e}^{\pi i\alpha^2\tau}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n\tau})(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n+\alpha-\frac{1}{2})\tau}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(z+\beta)})(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(n-\alpha-\frac{1}{2})\tau}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i(z+\beta)}) \,.\end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

We also use the following notation:

$$\vartheta_{--} \equiv \vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix} \,, \qquad \vartheta_{-+} \equiv \vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix} \,, \qquad \vartheta_{+-} \equiv \vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\0\end{bmatrix} \,. \tag{C.7}$$

This function has following properties:

$$\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha+1\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau) = \vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau) \,, \qquad \vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta+1\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\alpha}\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau) \,, \tag{C.8}$$

$$\overline{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)} = \vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ -\beta\end{bmatrix}(-\bar{z}|-\bar{\tau}) \,. \tag{C.9}$$

The theta function satisfies the heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau) = 4\pi i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau) \,. \tag{C.10}$$

For $\alpha,\beta = 0,\frac{1}{2}$, this function satisfies the Riemann identity [46]

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta=0,\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{2\alpha+2\beta}\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(x)\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(y)\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(u)\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha\\ \beta\end{bmatrix}(v) = 2\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(x_1)\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(y_1)\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(u_1)\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(v_1) \tag{C.11}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}&\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix}(x\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix}(y\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(u\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(v\,|\tau) \\ &\quad -\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(x\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(y\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix}(u\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix}(v\,|\tau) \\ &\quad\quad -\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\0\end{bmatrix}(x\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\0\end{bmatrix}(y\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(u\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(v\,|\tau) \\ &\quad\quad\quad +\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(x\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(y\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\0\end{bmatrix}(u\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\0\end{bmatrix}(v\,|\tau) \\ &= -2\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\0\end{bmatrix}(x_1\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\0\end{bmatrix}(y_1\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(u_1\,|\tau)\,\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(v_1\,|\tau) \,,\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(x+y+u+v) \,, \;\; y_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x+y-u-v) \,, \\ u_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(x-y+u-v) \,, \;\; v_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x-y-u+v) \,.\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

C.3 Dedekind eta function

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)\,. \tag{C.14}$$

Using this,

$$\vartheta' \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{smallmatrix}\right](0|\tau) = -2\pi\{\eta(\tau)\}^3\,. \tag{C.15}$$

C.4 Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation formula

The Ramanujan's summation formula [47, 48] is

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a;q)_n}{(b;q)_n} z^n = \frac{(az;q)_\infty (q;q)_\infty (\frac{q}{az};q)_\infty (\frac{b}{a};q)_\infty}{(z;q)_\infty (b;q)_\infty (\frac{b}{az};q)_\infty (\frac{q}{a};q)_\infty}\,, \tag{C.16}$$

with $|\frac{b}{a}| < |z| < 1$, $|q| < 1$. Where, for $a, q \in \mathbf{C}$, $|q| < 1$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$(a;q)_\infty \equiv \prod_{k=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^k)\,, \qquad (a;q)_n \equiv \frac{(a;q)_\infty}{(aq^n;q)_\infty} \tag{C.17}$$

is q-Pochhammer symbol.

From eq. (C.16), one can derive

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{1-aq^n} = \frac{(az;q)_\infty (\frac{q}{az};q)_\infty (q;q)_\infty^2}{(a;q)_\infty (z;q)_\infty (\frac{q}{z};q)_\infty (\frac{q}{a};q)_\infty} \tag{C.18}$$

with $|q| < |z| < 1$.

Proof: substituting $b = aq$ into eq. (C.16), the left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(a;q)_n}{(b;q)_n} \overset{b=aq}{=} \frac{(a;q)_n}{(aq;q)_n} &= \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(1-aq^k)}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(1-aq^{k+1})} \\ &= \frac{(1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\cdots(1-aq^{n-2})(1-aq^{n-1})}{(1-aq)(1-aq^2)\cdots(1-aq^{n-2})(1-aq^{n-1})(1-aq^n)} \\ &= \frac{1-a}{1-aq^n} \end{aligned} \tag{C.19}$$

$$\therefore \qquad \frac{1}{1-a}\frac{(a;q)_n}{(aq;q)_n} = \frac{1}{1-aq^n}\,. \tag{C.20}$$

The right hand side is

$$\frac{1}{1-a}\frac{(az;q)_\infty (q;q)_\infty (\frac{q}{az};q)_\infty (\frac{b}{a};q)_\infty}{(z;q)_\infty (b;q)_\infty (\frac{b}{az};q)_\infty (\frac{q}{a};q)_\infty} \overset{b=aq}{=} \frac{(az;q)_\infty (\frac{q}{az};q)_\infty (q;q)_\infty^2}{(z;q)_\infty (a;q)_\infty (\frac{q}{z};q)_\infty (\frac{q}{a};q)_\infty}\,. \tag{C.21}$$

Therefore, substituting $b = aq$ and dividing eq. (C.16) by $1 - a$ on the both sides, we obtain eq. (C.18). □

Using eq. (C.18), we find

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i z})^n}{1-(\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{\alpha})q^n} = \frac{i\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\alpha z}}{2\pi} \frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)} \frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)} \,. \tag{C.22}$$

Proof:

With $\zeta = \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i z}$ and $a = \mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\beta}q^{\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^n}{1-aq^n} \\
&\overset{\text{eq.}(C.18)}{=} \frac{(a\zeta;q)_\infty(\frac{q}{a\zeta};q)_\infty(q;q)_\infty^2}{(a;q)_\infty(\zeta;q)_\infty(\frac{q}{\zeta};q)_\infty(\frac{q}{a};q)_\infty} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\{z+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\}}q^{m+\alpha})(1+\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\{z+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\}}q^{m-\alpha+1})\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)^2}{\prod_{m=0}^{\infty}(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\{0+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\}}q^{m+\alpha})(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(z+\frac{1}{2})}q^m)(1+\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i(z+\frac{1}{2})}q^{m+1})(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\{0+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\}}q^{m-\alpha+1})} \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})(0+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta))}q^{\frac{(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})^2}{2}}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)}{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})(z+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta))}q^{\frac{(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})^2}{2}}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)} \\
&\times \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})(z+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta))}q^{\frac{(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})^2}{2}}}{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})(0+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta))}q^{\frac{(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})^2}{2}}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\{z+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\}}q^{m+(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\{z+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\}}q^{m-(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{1}{2}})}{\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\{0+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\}}q^{m+(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\{0+(\frac{1}{2}-\beta)\}}q^{m-(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{1}{2}})} \\
&\times \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(-\frac{1}{2})(z+\frac{1}{2})}q^{\frac{(-\frac{1}{2})^2}{2}}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)}{(-2\pi)q^{\frac{3}{24}}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)} \\
&\times \frac{(-2\pi)\left\{q^{\frac{1}{24}}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)\right\}^3}{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(-\frac{1}{2})(z+\frac{1}{2})}q^{\frac{(-\frac{1}{2})^2}{2}}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-q^m)(1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i(z+\frac{1}{2})}q^{m+(-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{1}{2}})(1+\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i(z+\frac{1}{2})}q^{m-(-\frac{1}{2})-\frac{1}{2}})} \\
&\overset{\text{eqs.}(C.6),(C.14)}{=} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})z}\mathrm{e}^{-\pi i(z+\frac{1}{2})}}{(-2\pi)} \frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)} \frac{(-2\pi)\{\eta(\tau)\}^3}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}-\frac{1}{2}\\ +\frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)} \\
&\overset{\text{eqs.}(C.8),(C.15)}{=} \frac{i\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\alpha z}}{2\pi} \frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\alpha-\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-\beta\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)} \frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0|\tau)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z|\tau)} \,. \qquad \square
\end{aligned} \tag{C.23}$$

C.5 Zeta function

The zeta function is defined by

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^z} \,. \tag{C.24}$$

For example,

$$\zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \,, \qquad \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \,, \qquad \zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90} \,. \tag{C.25}$$

In addition, the generalized zeta function is defined by

$$\zeta(z,a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a+n)^z} \qquad [a:\ \text{const.},\ \mathrm{Re}z > 1] \,. \tag{C.26}$$

This function satisfies

$$\zeta(z,1) = \zeta(z) \,, \qquad \zeta\left(z, \frac{1}{2}\right) = (2^z - 1)\zeta(z) \,. \tag{C.27}$$

D G_{++} and G_{+-}

D.1 $G_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) = G\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right](z,\bar{z}|0,0)$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) &\equiv G\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right](z,\bar{z}|0,0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_2} \sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty \\ (n_1,n_2)\neq(0,0)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}|n_2 - n_1\tau|^2} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1 \sigma^1} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2 \sigma^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau_2} \sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty \\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}|n_2 - n_1\tau|^2} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1 \sigma^1} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2 \sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \sum_{\substack{n_2=-\infty \\ n_2\neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}|n_2|^2} \mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2 \sigma^2} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

Using

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{x^n}{1-q^n} = -\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \ln(1 - xq^m) \,, \tag{D.2}$$

the first term in the last line of eq. (D.1) can be computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}|n_2-n_1\tau|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}\\
&=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{\tau-\bar\tau}{4(2\pi)^2}\frac{1}{n_1}\left\{\frac{1}{n_2-n_1\tau}-\frac{1}{n_2-n_1\bar\tau}\right\}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}\\
&=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{i(\tau-\bar\tau)}{4(2\pi)}\frac{1}{n_1}\left[\frac{\int_0^1\mathrm{d}\sigma\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i(n_2-n_1\tau)\sigma}}{1-q^{n_1}}-\frac{\int_0^1\mathrm{d}\sigma\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i(n_2-n_1\bar\tau)\sigma}}{1-\bar q^{-n_1}}\right]\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}\\
&=\frac{-2}{4(2\pi)}\sum_{\substack{n_1=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_1}\left[\frac{\int_0^1\mathrm{d}\sigma\delta(\sigma^2-\sigma)\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1(\sigma^1+\tau\sigma)}}{1-q^{n_1}}-\frac{\int_0^1\mathrm{d}\sigma\delta(\sigma^2-\sigma)\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1(\sigma^1+\bar\tau\sigma)}}{1-\bar q^{-n_1}}\right]\\
&=\frac{-2}{4(2\pi)}\sum_{\substack{n_1=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\zeta^{n_1}}{1-q^{n_1}}-\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\bar\zeta^{-n_1}}{1-\bar q^{-n_1}}\right]\\
&=\frac{-2}{4(2\pi)}\sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\zeta^{n_1}}{1-q^{n_1}}+\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\left(\frac{\bar q}{\bar\zeta}\right)^{n_1}}{1-\bar q^{n_1}}+\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\left(\frac{q}{\zeta}\right)^{n_1}}{1-q^{n_1}}+\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\bar\zeta^{n_1}}{1-\bar q^{n_1}}\right]\\
&\overset{\text{eq.}(D.2)}{=}\frac{-2}{4(2\pi)}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[-\ln\left(1-\zeta q^m\right)-\ln\left(1-\frac{\bar q}{\bar\zeta}\bar q^m\right)-\ln\left(1-\frac{q}{\zeta}\bar q^m\right)-\ln\left(1-\bar\zeta\bar q^m\right)\right]\\
&=\frac{2}{4(2\pi)}\left[\ln|\zeta-1|^2+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-\zeta q^m|^2\left|1-\frac{q^m}{\zeta}\right|^2\right]\,. \qquad \text{(D.3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, turning to the second term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_2=-\infty\\ n_2\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}|n_2|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}&=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}{4(2\pi)^2}\left(\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_2^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}+\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_2^2}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}\right)\\
&\equiv\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}{4(2\pi)^2}F(\sigma^2)\,. \qquad \text{(D.4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2F(\sigma^2)}{\mathrm{d}(\sigma^2)^2}&=\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}+\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\sigma^2-\varepsilon_+}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\sigma^2-\varepsilon_+}}-\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\sigma^2-\varepsilon_-}}\\
&=-1\qquad\text{modulo }\delta(\sigma^2)\,. \qquad \text{(D.5)}\\
\therefore\qquad F(\sigma^2)&=(2\pi i)^2\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2)^2+A(\sigma^2)+B\right)\,. \qquad \text{(D.6)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using

$$
A=F'(0)=0\,,\qquad B=F(0)=2\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_2^2}=2\zeta(2)=2\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\,, \qquad \text{(D.7)}
$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_2=-\infty\\ n_2\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}|n_2|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_2\sigma^2}=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}{4(2\pi)^2}(2\pi i)^2\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2)^2+2\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mathrm{Im}z)^2}{\tau_2}+2\tau_2\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\,. \tag{D.8}$$

As a result of eqs. (D.1), (D.3) and (D.8),

$$G_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)=\frac{2}{4(2\pi)}\left[\ln|\zeta-1|^2+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-\zeta q^m|^2\left|1-\frac{q^m}{\zeta}\right|^2\right]-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mathrm{Im}z)^2}{\tau_2}+2\tau_2\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\,. \tag{D.9}$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1-\zeta q^m)\left(1-\frac{q^m}{\zeta}\right)\\
&=\frac{iq^{\frac{1}{8}}\mathrm{e}^{\pi iz}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi iz})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)}{iq^{\frac{1}{8}}\mathrm{e}^{\pi iz}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi iz})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)}\frac{(-2\pi)\left\{q^{\frac{1}{24}}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)\right\}^3}{(-2\pi)\left\{q^{\frac{1}{24}}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)\right\}^3}\prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi iz}q^m\right)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi iz}q^m\right)\\
&\overset{\text{eqs.}(C.14),(C.15)}{=}\frac{(2\pi i)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^2}{\mathrm{e}^{\pi iz}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi iz})}\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.10}$$

the terms in the box brackets of eq. (D.9) can be recast as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ln|\zeta-1|^2+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-\zeta q^m|^2\left|1-\frac{q^m}{\zeta}\right|^2\\
&\overset{\text{eq.}(D.10)}{=}\ln\left|\mathrm{e}^{2\pi iz}-1\right|^2\left|\frac{(2\pi i)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^2}{\mathrm{e}^{\pi iz}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi iz})}\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}\right|^2\\
&=\ln\left|\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}\right|^2+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-q^n|^2-2\pi(\mathrm{Im}\,z)+2\ln(2\pi)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.11}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&G_{++}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\\
&\overset{\text{eqs.}(D.9),(D.11)}{=}\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln\left|\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0)}\right|-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^2}{\tau_2}\\
&\qquad+\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\ln|1-q^n|-\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{Im}\,z)+\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(2\pi)+2\tau_2\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6}\right]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.12}$$

The terms in the brackets on the last line vanish when acting $\Delta=4\partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}}$.

D.2 $G_{+-}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) = G\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&G_{+-}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\\
&\equiv G\begin{bmatrix}0\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z,\bar{z}|0,0)\\
&= \frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_1,n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\left|\left(n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)-n_1\tau\right|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sigma^2}\\
&= \frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\left|\left(n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)-n_1\tau\right|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sigma^2}+\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar{\tau})^2}\left|n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sigma^2}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.13}
$$

The first term in the last line of eq. (D.13) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}\left|\left(n_2+\frac12\right)-n_1\tau\right|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac12\right)\sigma^2}\\
&=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{\tau-\bar\tau}{4(2\pi)^2}\frac{1}{n_1}\left\{\frac{1}{\left(n_2+\frac12\right)-n_1\tau}-\frac{1}{\left(n_2+\frac12\right)-n_1\bar\tau}\right\}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac12\right)\sigma^2}\\
&=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{\substack{n_1,n_2=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{\tau-\bar\tau}{4(2\pi)^2}\frac{1}{n_1}\left[\frac{2\pi i}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\frac12 q^{n_1}}}\int_0^1\mathrm{d}\sigma\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\left\{\left(n_2+\frac12\right)-n_1\tau\right\}\sigma}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{2\pi i}{1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\frac12\bar q^{-n_1}}}\int_0^1\mathrm{d}\sigma\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\left\{\left(n_2+\frac12\right)-n_1\bar\tau\right\}\sigma}\right]\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1\sigma^1}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac12\right)\sigma^2}\\
&=\frac{-2}{4(2\pi)}\sum_{\substack{n_1=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_1}\left[\frac{\int_0^1\mathrm{d}\sigma\left(\delta(\sigma^2-\sigma)\right)\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\frac12(\sigma^2-\sigma)}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1(\sigma^1+\tau\sigma)}}{1-(-q^{n_1})}\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\frac{\int_0^1\mathrm{d}\sigma\left(\delta(\sigma^2-\sigma)\right)\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\frac12(\sigma^2-\sigma)}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i n_1(\sigma^1+\bar\tau\sigma)}}{1-(-\bar q^{-n_1})}\right]\\
&=\frac{-2}{4(2\pi)}\sum_{\substack{n_1=-\infty\\ n_1\neq 0}}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_1}\left[\frac{\zeta^{n_1}}{1-(-q^{n_1})}-\frac{\bar\zeta^{-n_1}}{1-(-\bar q^{-n_1})}\right]\\
&=\frac{-2}{4(2\pi)}\sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\zeta^{n_1}}{1-(-q^{n_1})}-\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\left(\frac{\bar q}{\zeta}\right)^{n_1}}{1-(-\bar q^{n_1})}-\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\left(\frac{q}{\zeta}\right)^{n_1}}{1-(-q^{n_1})}+\frac{1}{n_1}\frac{\bar\zeta^{+n_1}}{1-(-\bar q^{+n_1})}\right]\\
&\overset{\text{eq.(}D.15\text{)}}{=}\frac{-2}{4(2\pi)}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[(-1)^{m+1}\ln(1-\zeta q^m)-(-1)^{m+1}\ln\left(1-\frac{\bar q}{\bar\zeta}\bar q^m\right)\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-(-1)^{m+1}\ln\left(1-\frac{q}{\zeta}q^m\right)+(-1)^{m+1}\ln(1-\bar\zeta\bar q^m)\right]\\
&=\frac{2}{4(2\pi)}\left[\ln|1-\zeta|^2+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\ln|1-\zeta q^m|^2\left|1-\frac{q^m}{\zeta}\right|^2\right]\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.14}
$$

where we have used

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\frac{x^n}{1-(-y^n)}&=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}x^n(-y^n)^m=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^m\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}(xy^m)^n\\
&=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^m\{-\ln(1-xy^m)\}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m+1}\ln(1-xy^m)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.15}
$$

The second term in eq. (D.13) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}\left|n_2+\frac12\right|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac12\right)\sigma^2}\\
&=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}{4(2\pi)^2}\left(\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(n_2+\frac12\right)^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac12\right)\sigma^2}+\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(n_2-\frac12\right)^2}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\left(n_2-\frac12\right)\sigma^2}\right)\\
&\equiv\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}{4(2\pi)^2}\tilde F(\sigma^2)\,. \qquad\qquad (D.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\tilde F(\sigma^2)}{\mathrm{d}(\sigma^2)^2}&=\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac12\right)\sigma^2}+\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\left(n_2-\frac12\right)\sigma^2}\\
&=\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\frac12\sigma^2}\left(\frac{1}{1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\sigma^2-\varepsilon_+}}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\sigma^2-\varepsilon_-}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-2\pi i\sigma^2-\varepsilon_-}}\right)=0 \qquad \text{modulo } \delta(\sigma^2)\,. \qquad (D.17)\\
&\therefore\qquad \tilde F(\sigma^2)=(2\pi)^2\left(A\sigma^2+B\right) \qquad (D.18)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B\quad=\quad\tilde F(0)&=\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(n_2+\frac12\right)^2}+\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(n_2-\frac12\right)^2}\overset{\text{eq.}(C.26)}{=}\zeta\left(2,\frac12\right)+\left\{\zeta\left(2,-\frac12\right)-\frac{1}{\left(0-\frac12\right)^2}\right\}\\
&\overset{\text{eqs.}(C.25),(C.27)}{=}\frac{\pi^2}{2}+\left\{\left(4+\frac{\pi^2}{2}\right)-4\right\}=2\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{2}=\pi^2\,. \qquad (D.19)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^2+a^2}=-\frac{1}{2a^2}+\frac{\pi}{2a}\coth(a\pi)\,, \qquad (D.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A=\tilde F'(0)&=\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{2\pi i}{n_2+\frac12}+\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{-2\pi i}{n_2-\frac12}=2\pi i\left[\frac{1}{0+\frac12}+\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{1}{n_2+\frac12}-\frac{1}{n_2-\frac12}\right\}\right]\\
&=2\pi i\left[2-\sum_{n_2=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_2^2+\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^2}\right]\overset{\text{eq.}(D.20)}{=}2\pi i\left[2-\left\{-\frac{1}{2\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^2}+\frac{\pi}{2\frac{i}{2}}\coth\left(\frac{i}{2}\pi\right)\right\}\right]=0 \qquad (D.21)\\
&\therefore\qquad \tilde F(\sigma^2)=(2\pi)^2\pi^2\,. \qquad (D.22)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\tau_2}\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{4(2\pi)^2}{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}\left|n_2+\frac12\right|^2}\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i\left(n_2+\frac12\right)\sigma^2}\equiv\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{(\tau-\bar\tau)^2}{4(2\pi)^2}\tilde F(\sigma^2)=\frac{1}{\tau_2}\frac{(2i\tau_2)^2}{4(2\pi)^2}(2\pi)^2\pi^2=-\pi^2\tau_2\,. \qquad (D.23)$$

Finally,

$$G_{+-}(z,\bar z|0,0)\overset{\text{eqs.}(D.14),(D.23)}{=}\frac{1}{2\pi}\left[\ln|1-\zeta|+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^m\ln|1-\zeta q^m|\left|1-\frac{q^m}{\zeta}\right|\right]-\pi^2\tau_2\,. \qquad (D.24)$$

E supertorus Green function and supersphere Green function

Since

$$\frac{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](0|\tau)} \overset{z\sim 0}{\sim} z\,, \qquad \ln\left|1-\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i z}\right| \overset{z\sim 0}{\sim} \ln|z| \tag{E.1}$$

$$G_{+\pm}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) \overset{z\sim 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{2\pi}\ln|z| \tag{E.2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\rm f}}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) \overset{z\sim 0}{\sim} \frac{i}{\pi}\frac{1}{z}\,, \qquad \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\nu_{\rm f}}(z,\bar{z}|0,0) \overset{\bar{z}\sim 0}{\sim} -\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\,, \tag{E.3}$$

where $\nu_{\rm f}=(--),(-+),(+-)$. Using eqs. (E.2) and (E.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G}^{\rm supertorus}_{\substack{+\pm\\ \nu_{\rm f}}}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J) &\equiv G_{+\pm}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J) + \frac{\theta_I\theta_J}{4}\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\rm f}}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J) - \frac{\bar{\theta}_I\bar{\theta}_J}{4}\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\nu_{\rm f}}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J) \\
&\overset{z_I\sim z_J}{\sim} \frac{1}{2\pi}\ln|z_I-z_J| + \frac{\theta_I\theta_J}{4}\left(\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{1}{z_I-z_J}\right) - \frac{\bar{\theta}_I\bar{\theta}_J}{4}\left(-\frac{i}{\pi}\frac{1}{\bar{z}_I-\bar{z}_J}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi}\ln(z_I-z_J+i\theta_I\theta_J) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\ln(\bar{z}_I-\bar{z}_J+i\bar{\theta}_I\bar{\theta}_J) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\ln|z_I-z_J+i\theta_I\theta_J| = \mathbf{G}^{\rm supersphere}(z_I,\bar{z}_I|z_J,\bar{z}_J)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{E.4}$$

F Path integral of a fermionic string at one-loop

In the appendix, the path integral of a fermionic string [49, 50, 51] is briefly recalled. We present formulas in the flat ten dimensional case but they can be easily adapted to the other cases such as $T^4/\mathcal{Z}_2$ orbifold given in the text. The basic variables are the bosonic coordinates (2d massless scalar fields) X^μ, the fermionic ones (2d massless two-component Majorana spinor fields) $\psi_{\rm Maj}{}^\mu_\alpha$, zwiebein $e_a{}^m$ (or $e_m{}^a$ such that $g_{mn}=e_m{}^a e_n{}^b\delta_{ab}$) and the Rarita - Schwinger field $\chi_\alpha{}^m$, we denoted by $\mu,\nu,...,\ \alpha,\beta,...,\ m,n,...$ and $a,b,...$ ten dimensional vector indices, two dimensional spinor indices, two dimensional worldsheet indices, and two dimensional local Lorentz indices respectively. The action [52] is

$$\begin{aligned}
S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\int d^2\sigma\sqrt{g}\,\Big\{ &\frac{1}{2}g^{mn}\partial_m X^\mu\partial_n X_\mu - \frac{i}{2}\psi_{\rm Maj}{}^\mu\gamma^a\nabla_a\psi_{{\rm Maj}\,\mu} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi_{\rm Maj}{}^\mu\gamma^a\gamma^b\chi_a\right)\left(\partial_b X_\mu - \frac{1}{4}\chi_b\psi_{{\rm Maj}\,\mu}\right)\Big\}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{F.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_a &= e_a{}^m \chi_m, \quad \partial_b = e_b{}^m \partial_m \\ \nabla_a &= e_a{}^m \left(\partial_m - \omega_m \frac{1}{2} \gamma^5 \right) \\ \omega_m &= e_m{}^a \varepsilon^{pq} \partial_p e_q{}^b \delta_{ab} \, . \end{aligned} \tag{F.2}$$

The superstring scattering amplitudes are given in general by the functional integrals with the appropriately chosen vertex operators $\prod_I O_I$ over these worldsheet fields with respect to this action modulo the local symmetries

$$\langle \prod_I O_I \rangle = \sum_{\text{top.}} \sum_{\text{s.s.}} \int \frac{\mathcal{D} e_m{}^a}{\Omega(\text{D})\Omega(\text{W})\Omega(\text{L})} \int \frac{\mathcal{D} \chi_m{}^a}{\Omega(\text{S})\Omega(\text{SW})} \int \mathcal{D} X^\mu \int \mathcal{D} \psi_{\text{Maj}}{}^\mu e^{-S} \prod_I O_I \, . \tag{F.3}$$

Here we have denoted by top. and by s.s. the summation over the worldsheet topology and the summation over the spin structure respectively. The functional integrals are (at least formally) defined through inner product and are modded out by the volumes of the two dimensional diffeomorphisms, the local Weyl symmetry, the local Lorentz invariance, the two dimensional local symmetry and the local Weyl symmetry denoted respectively by $\Omega(\text{D})$, $\Omega(\text{W})$, $\Omega(\text{L})$, $\Omega(\text{S})$ and $\Omega(\text{SW})$.

The functional integral measure is first decomposed by noting general variation of vierbeins and gravitino variables exploiting the local transformations and the orthogonal decomposition:

$$\delta e_m{}^a = \delta\sigma e_m{}^a + (P_1 \delta\eta)_m{}^a + \delta\ell \varepsilon^{ab} e_m{}^b + \sum_i \delta c_i \psi^i_m{}^a. \tag{F.4}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 \, : \, (P_1 \delta\eta)_m{}^a = & \{ \delta\eta^n \partial_n e_m{}^a + e_n{}^a \partial_m \delta\eta^n \} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} e_m{}^a e_b{}^n \left\{ \delta\eta^\ell \partial_\ell e_n{}^b + e_\ell{}^b \partial_n \delta\eta^\ell \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} e_m{}^b \varepsilon_{dc} e_c{}^n \left\{ \delta\eta^\ell \partial_\ell e_n{}^d + e_\ell{}^d \partial_n \delta\eta^\ell \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi^i \in \text{Ker} P_1^\dagger$$

$$\delta\chi_m = \gamma_m \delta\rho + (P_{1/2} \delta\zeta)_m + \sum_i \delta\epsilon_i \Psi^i_m \tag{F.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{1/2} \delta\zeta)_m &= 2 \nabla_m \delta\zeta - \gamma_m \gamma^n \nabla_n \delta\zeta \\ \Psi^i_m &\in \text{Ker} P_{1/2}^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle\prod_I O_I\rangle = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\sigma\mathcal{D}(P_1\eta)\mathcal{D}\ell}{\Omega(\mathrm{D})\Omega(\mathrm{W})\Omega(\mathrm{L})}\prod_i \mathrm{d}c_i \mathrm{det}\langle\psi^i|\psi^j\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\rho\mathcal{D}(P_{1/2}\zeta)}{\Omega(\mathrm{S})\Omega(\mathrm{SW})}\prod_i \mathrm{d}\epsilon_i \mathrm{det}\langle\Psi_i|\Psi_j\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\prod_I O_I. \tag{F.6}$$

This expression is further converted by referring to the global gauge slice and moduli and supermoduli parameters

$$\begin{aligned} e_m{}^a &= e^{\Lambda}(\mathrm{Diff}\hat{e})_m{}^a(\tau_i) \\ \chi_m &= \gamma_m\lambda + \sum_i a_i\Phi^i{}_m. \end{aligned} \tag{F.7}$$

The vierbein variation reads

$$\delta e_m{}^a = \delta\Lambda e_m{}^a + \delta\eta^n\partial_n e_m{}^a + e_n{}^a\partial_m\delta\eta^n + \delta L\varepsilon^{ab}e_m{}^b + \sum_i \delta\tau_i\left(\partial e_m{}^a/\partial\tau_i\right)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ \mathrm{d}\ell \\ P_1\delta\eta \\ \mathrm{d}c_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta\Lambda \\ \delta L \\ \delta\eta \\ \delta T_{ij} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathrm{det}T = \mathrm{det}\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle^{-1}\mathrm{det}\langle\psi_i|\partial e_m{}^a/\partial\tau_i\rangle.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{D}e_m{}^a}{\Omega(\mathrm{D})\Omega(\mathrm{W})\Omega(\mathrm{L})} &= \frac{\mathcal{D}\Lambda\mathcal{D}L\mathcal{D}'\eta}{\Omega(\mathrm{D})\Omega(\mathrm{W})\Omega(\mathrm{L})}\prod_i \mathrm{d}\tau_i \mathrm{det}'(P_1^\dagger P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\qquad \mathrm{det}\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{det}\left\langle\psi_i\left|\frac{\partial e_m{}^a}{\partial\tau_i}\right.\right\rangle \\ &= \prod_i \mathrm{d}\tau_i \frac{1}{\Omega(\mathrm{CK})}\mathrm{det}'(P_1^\dagger P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{det}\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{det}\left\langle\psi_i\left|\frac{\partial e_m{}^a}{\partial\tau_i}\right.\right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega(\mathrm{CK})$ is the volume of conformal killing vectors and $\mathcal{D}'\eta$ indicates that $\mathrm{ker}P_1$ has been excluded. The variation of the gravitinos reads

$$\delta\chi_m = \gamma_m\delta\lambda + 2\nabla_m\delta\zeta + \sum_i \mathrm{d}a_i\Phi_i$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta\rho \\ P_{1/2}\delta\zeta \\ \delta\epsilon_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & 0 \\ 0 & P_{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta\lambda \\ \delta\zeta \\ \mathrm{d}a_j \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det S = \det\langle\Psi_i|\Psi_k\rangle^{-1}\det\langle\Psi_k|\Phi_j\rangle.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{D}\chi_m}{\Omega(\mathrm{S})\Omega(\mathrm{SW})} &= \frac{\mathcal{D}\lambda\mathcal{D}'\zeta}{\Omega(\mathrm{S})\Omega(\mathrm{SW})}\det{}'(P_{1/2}^\dagger P_{1/2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\prod_i \mathrm{d}a_i \det S^{-1}\det\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \prod_i \mathrm{d}a_i \frac{1}{\Omega(\mathrm{CKS})}\det{}'(P_{1/2}^\dagger P_{1/2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\det\langle\Psi_i|\Psi_k\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\det\langle\Psi_k|\Phi_j\rangle^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega(\mathrm{CKS})$ is the volume of the conformal killing spinor and $\mathcal{D}'\zeta$ indicates that $\ker P_{1/2}$ has been excluded. The final formula is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\prod_I O_I\rangle = \sum_{\text{top.}}\sum_{\text{s.s.}}\int\prod_i \mathrm{d}\tau_i \frac{1}{\Omega(\mathrm{CKV})}\det{}'(P_1^\dagger P_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\det\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\det\left\langle\psi_i\left|\frac{\partial {e_m}^a}{\partial\tau_i}\right.\right\rangle \\ \int\prod_i \mathrm{d}a_i \frac{1}{\Omega(\mathrm{CKS})}\det{}'(P_{1/2}^\dagger P_{1/2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\det\langle\Psi_i|\Psi_j\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\det\langle\Psi_i|\Phi_j\rangle^{-1} \\ \int\mathcal{D}X^\mu\int\mathcal{D}\psi_{\mathrm{Maj}}{}^\mu e^{-S}\prod_I O_I\,. \qquad \text{(F.8)}\end{aligned}$$

G Superannulus

In this appendix, we apply the method of images in superspace to superannulus [15, 14].

Let the conjugate point of (z,θ) be $(\tilde{z},\tilde{\theta})$. The involution acting on $f(z,\theta)$ associated with $(z,\theta)\to(\tilde{z},\tilde{\theta})$ is denoted by

$$\hat{i}f(z,\theta) = f(\tilde{z},\tilde{\theta}) = \mathrm{fn}(\bar{z},\text{ and }\bar{\theta}\text{ only})\,. \qquad \text{(G.1)}$$

Let the supersymmetry transformation of $f(z,\theta)$ be

$$\delta f = (\varepsilon Q - \bar{\varepsilon}\bar{Q})f(z,\theta)\,. \qquad \text{(G.2)}$$

We require

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{i}\delta f = \delta\hat{i}f(z,\theta) = \delta f(\tilde{z},\tilde{\theta}) = -\bar{\varepsilon}\bar{Q}f(\tilde{z},\tilde{\theta}) \\ = \hat{i}\varepsilon Q f = \hat{i}\varepsilon\left(i\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\right)f(z,\theta) = \tilde{\varepsilon}\tilde{Q}f(\tilde{z},\tilde{\theta})\,. \qquad \text{(G.3)}\end{aligned}$$

So we conclude

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}\tilde{Q} = -\bar{\varepsilon}\bar{Q} \qquad \text{(G.4)}$$

$$\delta\tilde{\theta}\left(i\tilde{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{z}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{\theta}}\right) = -\delta\bar{\theta}\left(-i\bar{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}}\right)\,. \qquad \text{(G.5)}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if}\ \ \tilde{z}=\bar{z}, &\quad \text{then}\ \ \tilde{\theta}=\pm\bar{\theta} \quad (\text{UHP})\,, \\ \tilde{z}=-\bar{z}, &\ \ \text{then}\ \ \tilde{\theta}=\pm i\bar{\theta} \ \ (\text{annulus})\,, \\ \text{and}\ \ \tilde{z}=\frac{1}{\bar{z}}, &\quad \text{then}\ \ \tilde{\theta}=\pm\frac{i\bar{\theta}}{\bar{z}} \ \ (\text{disk})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{G.6}$$

H Supplement to $N^{IJ}_{+\pm}, B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\rm f}}, C^{IJ}_{+\pm}, E^{IJ}_{+\pm}$

H.1 properties under $I \leftrightarrow J$

Here we check the properties under $I \leftrightarrow J$.

Due to the even/odd properties for theta functions,

$$\frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-z)}{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0)} = \frac{-\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)}{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0)} \tag{H.1}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\rm f}}(-z) = \frac{i}{\pi}\frac{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(-z)}{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)}\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-z)} = \frac{i}{\pi}\left(\frac{+\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(z)}{\vartheta_{\nu_{\rm f}}(0)}\right)\left(\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(0)}{-\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)}\right) = -\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\rm f}}(z)\,. \tag{H.2}$$

In addition, by the fact that the derivative of a even/odd function becomes odd/even,

$$\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-z)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-z)} = \frac{+\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)}{-\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)} = -\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)} \tag{H.3}$$

$$\frac{\vartheta''\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-z)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-z)} = \frac{-\vartheta''\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)}{-\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)} = +\frac{\vartheta''\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}(z)}\,. \tag{H.4}$$

Using these,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi N^{JI}_{++} &\overset{\text{eqs.}(H.1),(H.2)}{=} \ln\left|-\frac{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\left(0\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}\right| + \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{(z_I-z_J)^2}{\tau_2} = \pi N^{IJ}_{++} \\
B^{JI}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}} &\overset{\text{eq.}(H.2)}{=} \frac{1}{2}\frac{\pi}{i}(-1)\mathcal{S}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right) = -B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}} \\
C^{JI}_{++} &\overset{\text{eq.}(H.3)}{=} \frac{1}{2}(-1)\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)} + (-1)\pi\frac{z_I-z_J}{\tau_2} = -C^{IJ}_{++} \\
E^{JI}_{++} &\overset{\text{eqs.}(H.3),(H.4)}{=} \frac{1}{4}\left\{+\frac{\vartheta''\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)} - \left(-\frac{\vartheta'\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}{\vartheta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{bmatrix}\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\middle|\frac{i\tau_2}{2}\right)}\right)^2\right\} + \frac{\pi}{\tau_2} = E^{IJ}_{++}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{H.5}
$$

From eq. (4.10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi N^{JI}_{+-} &= +\pi N^{IJ}_{+-} \\
B^{JI}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}} &= -B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}} \\
C^{JI}_{+-} &= -C^{IJ}_{+-} \\
E^{JI}_{+-} &= +E^{IJ}_{+-}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{H.6}
$$

H.2 singularity at $z_I \sim z_J$

Let us look at a singularity of $\pi N^{IJ}_{+\pm}$, $B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}}$, $C^{IJ}_{+\pm}$ and $E^{IJ}_{+\pm}$ at $z_I \sim z_J$.

By eq. (E.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi N^{IJ}_{+\pm} &\overset{z_J\sim z_I}{\sim} \ln\left|\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_I}{2}\right| \\
B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\mathrm{f}}} &\overset{z_J\sim z_I}{\sim} \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_I}{2}} \\
C^{IJ}_{+\pm} &\overset{z_J\sim z_I}{\sim} \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_I}{2}} \\
E^{IJ}_{+\pm} &\overset{z_J\sim z_I}{\sim} -\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_I}{2}\right)^2}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{H.7}
$$

where we have also used

$$\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\vartheta''\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)}{\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)} \overset{\text{L'Hopital's rule}}{=} \lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\vartheta'''\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)} \overset{\text{eq.}(C.10)}{=} \lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left\{4\pi i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\vartheta\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)\right\}}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)}$$

$$= 4\pi i\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\left\{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)\right\}}{\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)} = 4\pi i\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\left\{\lim_{z\to 0}\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)\right\}}{\lim_{z\to 0}\vartheta'\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}\end{smallmatrix}\right](z|\tau)}$$

$$= 4\pi i\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\left[-2\pi\{\eta(\tau)\}^3\right]}{-2\pi\{\eta(\tau)\}^3} = 4\pi i\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\left[\{\eta(\tau)\}^3\right]}{\{\eta(\tau)\}^3}$$

$$= 4\pi i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\ln\{\eta(\tau)\}^3 = 3\cdot 4\pi i\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\ln\eta(\tau) \tag{H.8}$$

to evaluate E^{IJ}_{++}.

H.3 eq. (4.8) at $z_I \sim z_J$ in case of maximal supersymmetry

Let us check that eq. (4.8) reduces to that of [15] at $z_I \sim z_J$ in case of maximal supersymmetry.

According to eq. (H.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\exp\left[2\alpha'\sum_{1\le I<J\le N} k_I\cdot k_J\pi N^{IJ}_{++}\right]\\
&\exp\Bigg[2\alpha'\sum_{1\le I<J\le N}\big\{ik_I\cdot k_J\theta_I\theta_J B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\rm f}}\\
&\qquad +(k_I\cdot\eta_J\theta_I-k_J\cdot\eta_I\theta_J)B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\rm f}}+(k_J\cdot\eta_I\theta_I-k_I\cdot\eta_J\theta_J)C^{IJ}_{++}\\
&\qquad -i\eta_I\cdot\eta_J B^{IJ}_{\nu_{\rm f}}+\eta_I\cdot\eta_J\theta_I\theta_J E^{IJ}_{++}\big\}\Bigg]\\
&\overset{z_I\sim z_J}{\sim}\exp\left[2\alpha'\sum_{1\le I<J\le N} k_I\cdot k_J\ln\left|\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right|\right]\\
&\exp\Bigg[2\alpha'\sum_{1\le I<J\le N}\Bigg\{ik_I\cdot k_J\theta_I\theta_J\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}}\\
&\qquad +\left((k_I\cdot\eta_J\theta_I-k_J\cdot\eta_I\theta_J)\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}}+(k_J\cdot\eta_I\theta_I-k_I\cdot\eta_J\theta_J)\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}}\right)\\
&\qquad -i\eta_I\cdot\eta_J\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}}+\eta_I\cdot\eta_J\theta_I\theta_J\frac{(-1)}{4}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)^2}\Bigg\}\Bigg]\\
&=\prod_{1\le I<J\le N}\left|\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right|^{2\alpha' k_I\cdot k_J}\\
&\qquad\exp\left[\sum_{1\le I<J\le N}\left\{2\alpha' ik_I\cdot k_J\theta_I\theta_J\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}}+2\alpha'(k_I\cdot\eta_J+k_J\cdot\eta_I)\frac{1}{2}\frac{\theta_I-\theta_J}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}}\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\left.-2\alpha' i\eta_I\cdot\eta_J\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}}-2\alpha'\eta_I\cdot\eta_J\theta_I\theta_J\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{z_I}{2}-\frac{z_J}{2}\right)^2}\right\}\right]\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{H.9}
$$

References

[1] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B181 (1981) 502; M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B198 (1982) 252; M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B198 (1982) 441; M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. B109 (1982) 444.

[2] J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Rept. 89 (1982) 223.

[3] Z. Koba and H. B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B10 (1969) 633.

[4] A. Neveu and J. Scherk, Phys. Rev. D1 (1970) 2355.

[5] C. S. Hsue, B. Sakita and M. A. Virasoro, Phys. Rev. D2 (1970) 2857.

[6] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *"Superstring Theory. Vol. 1: Introduction"*, (Cambridge Unv. Press, 1987); M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *"Superstring Theory. Vol. 2: Loop Amplitudes, Anomalies And Phenomenology"*, (Cambridge Unv. Press, 1987).

[7] J. Polchinski, "*String theory. Vol. 1: An introduction to the bosonic string*", (Cambridge Unv. Press, 1998); J. Polchinski, "*String theory. Vol. 2: Superstring theory and beyond*", (Cambridge Unv. Press, 1998).

[8] S. G. Nibbelink and M. Laidlaw, JHEP 0401 (2004) 004, hep-th/0311013.

[9] L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B261 (1985) 678; L. J. Dixon, J. A. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B274 (1986) 285.

[10] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D34 (1986) 3794; H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 1832; H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 429; H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen and S. H. H. Tye, Nucl. Phys. B288 (1987) 1.

[11] W. Lerche, D. Lust and A. N. Schellekens, Nucl. Phys. B287 (1987) 477.

[12] B. Chen, H. Itoyama, T. Matsuo and K. Murakami, Nucl. Phys. B576 (2000) 177, hep-th/9910263; B. Chen, H. Itoyama, T. Matsuo and K. Murakami, Nucl. Phys. B593 (2001) 505, hep-th/0005283; B. Chen, H. Itoyama, T. Matsuo and K. Murakami, Prog. Theor. Phys. 105 (2001) 853, hep-th/0010066; H. Itoyama, hep-th/0011028; H. Itoyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 144 (2001) 99; R. Blumenhagen, L. Gorlich, B. Kors and D. Lust, Nucl. Phys. B582 (2000) 44, hep-th/0003024; R. Blumenhagen, L. Goerlich, B. Kors

and D. Lust, JHEP 0010 (2000) 006, hep-th/0007024; A. Kumar, A. Misra and K. L. Panigrahi, JHEP 0102 (2001) 037, hep-th/0011206; S. Bhattacharyya, A. Kumar and S. Mahapatra, Mod. Phys. Lett. A16 (2001) 2263, hep-th/0106232.

[13] C. P. Burgess and T. R. Morris, Nucl. Phys. B291 (1987) 285.

[14] T. Inami, H. Kanno and T. Kubota, Prog. Theor. Phys. 77 (1987) 26; T. Inami, H. Kanno and T. Kubota, Nucl. Phys. B308 (1988) 203.

[15] H. Itoyama and P. Moxhay, Nucl. Phys. B293 (1987) 685.

[16] F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D. I. Olive, Phys. Lett. B65 (1976) 282; F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D. I. Olive, Nucl. Phys. B122 (1977) 253.

[17] M. R. Douglas and B. Grinstein, Phys. Lett. B183 (1987) 52; M. R. Douglas and B. Grinstein, Phys. Lett. 187B (1987) 442.

[18] J. Polchinski and Y. Cai, Nucl. Phys. B296 (1988) 91.

[19] Y. Arakane, H. Itoyama, H. Kunitomo and A. Tokura, Nucl. Phys. B486 (1997) 149, hep-th/9609151.

[20] C. Angelantonj and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Rept. 371 (2002) 1, hep-th/0204089.

[21] E. G. Gimon and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996) 1667, hep-th/9601038.

[22] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. B151 (1985) 21.

[23] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. B149 (1984) 117.

[24] G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B216 (1989) 59.

[25] I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas, C. Kounnas and P. Windey, Phys. Lett. B171 (1986) 51; I. Antoniadis and C. Bachas, Nucl. Phys. B298 (1988) 586; I. Antoniadis, J. R. Ellis, J. S. Hagelin and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B231 (1989) 65; J. L. Lopez, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. -j. Yuan, Nucl. Phys. B399 (1993) 654, hep-th/9203025; S. Chaudhuri, G. Hockney and J. D. Lykken, Nucl. Phys. B469 (1996) 357, hep-th/9510241; A. E. Faraggi, Nucl. Phys. B387 (1992) 239, hep-th/9208024; A. E. Faraggi, Phys. Lett. B339 (1994) 223, hep-ph/9408333.

[26] G. Aldazabal, D. Badagnani, L. E. Ibanez and, A. M. Uranga, JHEP 9906 (1999) 031, hep-th/9904071; C. A. Scrucca and M. Serone, JHEP 9912 (1999) 024, hep-th/9912108; M. Bianchi and J. F. Morales, JHEP 0003 (2000) 030, hep-th/0002149.

[27] M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B247 (1990) 517.

[28] M. Bianchi and A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. B361 (1991) 519.

[29] G. Aldazabal and A. M. Uranga, JHEP 9910 (1999) 024, hep-th/9908072.

[30] C. Angelantonj, I. Antoniadis, G. D'Appollonio, E. Dudas and A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. B572 (2000) 36, hep-th/9911081.

[31] C. Angelantonj, R. Blumenhagen and M. R. Gaberdiel, Nucl. Phys. B589 (2000) 545, hep-th/0006033.

[32] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 6423, hep-th/9606165.

[33] G. Aldazabal, A. Font, L. E. Ibanez and G. Violero, Nucl. Phys. B536 (1998) 29, hep-th/9804026.

[34] L. E. Ibanez, R. Rabadan and A. M. Uranga, Nucl. Phys. B542 (1999) 112, hep-th/9808139.

[35] E. Poppitz, Nucl. Phys. B542 (1999) 31, hep-th/9810010.

[36] I. Antoniadis, E. Kiritsis and J. Rizos, Nucl. Phys. B637 (2002) 92, hep-th/0204153.

[37] H. Itoyama and K. Yano, Phys. Lett. B727 (2013) 527.

[38] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec and R. Rohm, Nucl. Phys. B256 (1985) 253; D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec and R. Rohm, Nucl. Phys. B267 (1986) 75.

[39] J. J. Atick and A. Sen, Nucl. Phys. B293 (1987) 317.

[40] V. A. Kostelecky, O. Lechtenfeld, W. Lerche, S. Samuel and S. Watamura, Nucl. Phys. B288 (1987) 173.

[41] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B298 (1988) 109.

[42] O. D. Andreev and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B311 (1988) 205.

[43] N. T. Jones and S. H. H. Tye, JHEP 0308 (2003) 037, hep-th/0307092.

[44] L. Clavelli, P. H. Cox and B. Harms, Phys. Rev. D35 (1987) 1908.

[45] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, Rev. Mod. Phys. 60 (1988) 917.

[46] D. Mumford, *"Tata Lectures on theta"*, (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983).

[47] C. Adiga, B. C. Berndt, S. Bhargava and G. N. Watson, *"Chapter 16 of Ramanujan's second notebook: Theta-functions and q-series"*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No.315, 53 (1985), 1.

[48] M. Thongmoon, Int. Math. Forum, vol.4 (2009) no.7, 309.

[49] A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B103 (1981) 207; A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B103 (1981) 211.

[50] J. Polchinski, Commun. Math. Phys. 104 (1986) 37.

[51] S. Chaudhuri, H. Kawai and S. H. H. Tye, Phys. Rev. D36 (1987) 1148.

[52] L. Brink, S. Deser, B. Zumino, P. Di Vecchia and P. S. Howe Phys. Lett. B64 (1976) 435; L. Brink, S. Deser, B. Zumino, P. Di Vecchia and P. S. Howe Phys. Lett. B68 (1977) 488; L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia and P. S. Howe, Phys. Lett. B65 (1976) 471; L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia and P. S. Howe, Nucl. Phys. B118 (1977) 76.